Erratum: Spin-dependent boundary conditions for isotropic superconducting Green's functions [Phys. Rev. B 80, 184511 (2009)]

Audrey Cottet, Daniel Huertas-Hernando, Wolfgang Belzig, and Yuli V. Nazarov (Received 25 February 2011; published 14 April 2011)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.139901 PACS number(s): 73.23.-b, 74.45.+c, 85.75.-d, 99.10.Cd

We correct below some inaccuracies of Ref. 1. Our other equations and conclusions are not affected by these changes.

(1) Equations (61) and (62) and the definition of $G_{\phi}^{L(R)}$ have to be corrected as

$$2\check{I}_{L}(\varepsilon) = G_{T}[\check{G}_{R}, \check{G}_{L}] + G_{MR}[\{\check{\sigma}_{Z}, \check{G}_{R}\}, \check{G}_{L}]$$

$$+iG_{\phi}^{L}[\check{\sigma}_{Z}, \check{G}_{L}] + iG_{\chi}^{L}[\check{G}_{R}\check{G}_{L}\check{\sigma}_{Z} + \check{\sigma}_{Z}\check{G}_{L}\check{G}_{R}, \check{G}_{L}]$$

$$+iG_{\chi}^{R}[\check{G}_{R}[\check{\sigma}_{Z}, \check{G}_{R}], \check{G}_{L}]$$

$$(1)$$

and

$$2\check{I}_{R}(\varepsilon) = G_{T}[\check{G}_{R}, \check{G}_{L}] + G_{MR}[\check{G}_{R}, \{\check{\sigma}_{Z}, \check{G}_{L}\}]$$

$$-iG_{\phi}^{R}[\check{\sigma}_{Z}, \check{G}_{R}] - iG_{\chi}^{R}[\check{G}_{L}\check{G}_{R}\check{\sigma}_{Z} + \check{\sigma}_{Z}\check{G}_{R}\check{G}_{L}, \check{G}_{R}]$$

$$-iG_{\chi}^{L}[\check{G}_{L}[\check{\sigma}_{Z}, \check{G}_{L}], \check{G}_{R}]$$

$$(2)$$

with $G_{\phi}^{L(R)}/G_q = -2\sum_n d\varphi_n^{L(R)}$. The definitions of the other coefficients, G_T , G_{MR} , and $G_{\chi}^{L(R)}$, are unchanged. (2) In the normal-state limit, the above Eqs. (1) and (2) agree with the boundary conditions introduced formerly^{2,3} [see Eq. (C4) of Ref. 1], provided the reflection and transmission mixing conductances $G_{\text{mix}}^{L(R),r}$ and G_{mix}^t appearing in this limit are replaced by their expansions to first order in T_n , i.e.,

$$G_{\text{mix}}^{L(R),r} \to (G_T/2) + i(G_{\phi}^{L(R)}/2) + 2iG_{\chi}^{L(R)}$$

and

$$G_{\text{mix}}^t \to (G_T/2) + i(G_{\gamma}^L + G_{\gamma}^R).$$

- (3) At the end of Secs. VII D and VIII A, we indicated a rule to generalize the boundary conditions for isotropic Green's functions (60), (61), (62), and (73) to an arbitrary spin reference frame $(\check{\sigma}_X, \check{\sigma}_Y, \check{\sigma}_Z)$. This rule has to be corrected. When the barrier \bar{V}_b is polarized along a direction \vec{m} , one should replace $\check{\sigma}_Z$ by $((1 + \check{\tau}_3)\sigma_Z(\vec{m} \cdot \check{\sigma})\sigma_Z + (\check{\tau}_3 - 1)\sigma_v(\vec{m} \cdot \check{\sigma})\sigma_v)/2$. This rule can be simplified by defining differently the spinors and Green's functions.
- (4) The commutators and anticommutators have a special meaning when they are applied to the Ψ and Ψ^{\dagger} operators [see Eqs. (3) and (4) of Ref. 1]; i.e.,

$$\begin{split} \{\boldsymbol{\Psi}(1), & \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\dagger}(2)\}_{\mathcal{T}} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}(1) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\dagger}(2) + \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{T}[\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\dagger}(2)] \cdot \mathcal{T}([\boldsymbol{\Psi}(1)]], \\ [\boldsymbol{\Psi}(1), & \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\dagger}(2)]_{\mathcal{T}} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}(1) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\dagger}(2) - \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{T}[\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\dagger}(2)] \cdot \mathcal{T}[\boldsymbol{\Psi}(1)]], \end{split}$$

with \mathcal{T} the transposition operator in the Nambu \otimes spin space.

- (5) Above Eq. (10), we assume that \check{G} , $\check{\Sigma}_{imp}$ and $\check{\Delta}$ can be considered independent of $\vec{\rho}$. However, it is inaccurate to justify these assumptions from the fact of considering wide contacts. It is more accurate to simply state that we consider a geometry where \check{G} , $\check{\Sigma}_{imp}$, and $\check{\Delta}$ are independent of $\vec{\rho}$.
 - (6) To write the Usadel equation as in Eq. (E2), one needs to assume $\Delta(z) \in \mathbb{R}$.

We are grateful to P. Machon and M. Eschrig for pointing out some of these inaccuracies.

¹A. Cottet, D. Huertas-Hernando, W. Belzig, and Y. V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. B 80, 184511 (2009).

²A. Brataas, Yu. V. Nazarov, and G. E. W. Bauer, Phys. Rev. Lett.

³A. Brataas, Yu. V. Nazarov, and G. E. W. Bauer, Eur. Phys. J. B 22, 99 (2001).