

## Solution Set for Exercise Session No.3

Course: Mathematical Aspects of Symmetries in Physics,  
ICFP Master Program (for M1)

4th December, 2014, at Room 235A

Lecture by Amir-Kian Kashani-Poor (email: kashani@lpt.ens.fr)

Exercise Session by Tatsuo Azeyanagi (email: tatsuo.azeyanagi@phys.ens.fr)

### 1 More on Representations

(1)

1. We first recall the multiplication table for  $D_3$  given in Table 1.

	$e$	$c_3$	$c_3^{-1}$	$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_2$	$\sigma_3$
$e$	$e$	$c_3$	$c_3^{-1}$	$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_2$	$\sigma_3$
$c_3$	$c_3$	$c_3^{-1}$	$e$	$\sigma_3$	$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_2$
$c_3^{-1}$	$c_3^{-1}$	$e$	$c_3$	$\sigma_2$	$\sigma_3$	$\sigma_1$
$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_2$	$\sigma_3$	$e$	$c_3$	$c_3^{-1}$
$\sigma_2$	$\sigma_2$	$\sigma_3$	$\sigma_1$	$c_3^{-1}$	$e$	$c_3$
$\sigma_3$	$\sigma_3$	$\sigma_1$	$\sigma_2$	$c_3$	$c_3^{-1}$	$e$

Table 1: Multiplication table for  $D_3$

As carried out in the lecture, let us identify  $e, c_3, c_3^{-1}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  with  $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_6 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)^T$ . Then under the action of the regular representation  $\rho^{(reg)}(g)$  with  $g \in G$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v} &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathbf{e}_4 + \alpha_5 \mathbf{e}_5 + \alpha_6 \mathbf{e}_6 \\ &= \alpha_1 e + \alpha_2 c_3 + \alpha_3 c_3^{-1} + \alpha_4 \sigma_1 + \alpha_5 \sigma_2 + \alpha_6 \sigma_3\end{aligned}$$

transforms as (as we have seen in the lecture, the action of  $\rho^{(reg)}(g)$  with  $g \in G$  is to multiply  $g$  from the left)

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{(reg)}(e)\mathbf{v} &= e\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 e + \alpha_2 c_3 + \alpha_3 c_3^{-1} + \alpha_4 \sigma_1 + \alpha_5 \sigma_2 + \alpha_6 \sigma_3 \\ &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathbf{e}_4 + \alpha_5 \mathbf{e}_5 + \alpha_6 \mathbf{e}_6, \\ \rho^{(reg)}(c_3)\mathbf{v} &= c_3 \mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 c_3 + \alpha_2 c_3^{-1} + \alpha_3 e + \alpha_4 \sigma_3 + \alpha_5 \sigma_1 + \alpha_6 \sigma_2 \\ &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_3 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_4 \mathbf{e}_6 + \alpha_5 \mathbf{e}_4 + \alpha_6 \mathbf{e}_5, \\ \rho^{(reg)}(c_3^{-1})\mathbf{v} &= c_3^{-1} \mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 c_3^{-1} + \alpha_2 e + \alpha_3 c_3 + \alpha_4 \sigma_2 + \alpha_5 \sigma_3 + \alpha_6 \sigma_1 \\ &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_3 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_4 \mathbf{e}_5 + \alpha_5 \mathbf{e}_6 + \alpha_6 \mathbf{e}_4, \\ \rho^{(reg)}(\sigma_1)\mathbf{v} &= \sigma_1 \mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \sigma_1 + \alpha_2 \sigma_2 + \alpha_3 \sigma_3 + \alpha_4 e + \alpha_5 c_3 + \alpha_6 c_3^{-1} \\ &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_4 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_5 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_6 + \alpha_4 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_5 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_6 \mathbf{e}_3, \\ \rho^{(reg)}(\sigma_2)\mathbf{v} &= \sigma_2 \mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \sigma_2 + \alpha_2 \sigma_3 + \alpha_3 \sigma_1 + \alpha_4 c_3^{-1} + \alpha_5 e + \alpha_6 c_3\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_5 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_6 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_4 + \alpha_4 \mathbf{e}_3 + \alpha_5 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_6 \mathbf{e}_2, \\
 \rho^{(reg)}(\sigma_3) \mathbf{v} &= \sigma_3 \mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \sigma_3 + \alpha_2 \sigma_1 + \alpha_3 \sigma_2 + \alpha_4 c_3 + \alpha_5 c_3^{-1} + \alpha_6 e \\
 &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_6 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_4 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_5 + \alpha_4 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_5 \mathbf{e}_3 + \alpha_6 \mathbf{e}_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the matrix representation  $M^{(reg)}(g)$  of  $\rho^{(reg)}(g)$  defined as

$$\rho^{(reg)}(g) : \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_1 \\ \alpha'_2 \\ \alpha'_3 \\ \alpha'_4 \\ \alpha'_5 \\ \alpha'_6 \end{pmatrix} = M^{(reg)}(g) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

(or, equivalently,  $(\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_6) = (\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_6) M^{(reg)}(g)$ ) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M^{(reg)}(e) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & M^{(reg)}(c_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 M^{(reg)}(c_3^{-1}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & M^{(reg)}(\sigma_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 M^{(reg)}(\sigma_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & M^{(reg)}(\sigma_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

— memo —

Since  $\mathbf{v}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_6) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \end{pmatrix},$$

which transforms under the action of  $\rho^{(reg)}(g)$  to

$$\rho^{(reg)}(g)\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_6)M^{(reg)}(g) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \end{pmatrix},$$

one can regard this transformation as the transformation of  $\alpha_i$ 's,  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6)^T \rightarrow M^{(reg)}(g)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6)^T$ . — On definition of regular representation —

We first start with the left action of  $G$  on a element  $g \in G$ ,  $\hat{h}(g) = hg$  ( $h \in G$ ). Then from this, we have

$$\hat{h} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g)g = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g)hg = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(h^{-1}g)g.$$

Then we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_1 \hat{h}_2 \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g)g &= \hat{h}_1 \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g)h_2g = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g)h_1h_2g = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g)(h_1h_2)g = \widehat{(h_1h_2)} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g)g, \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \alpha((h_1h_2)^{-1}g)g. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the action of  $h \in G$  onto the function  $\alpha(g)$  is given by  $\hat{h}\alpha(g) = \alpha(h^{-1}g)$ . In the above (as well as the lecture), we have used this definition of the regular representation.

2. Let us in general consider a finite group  $G$  and its representations  $\rho^{(\alpha)}$  and  $\rho^{(\beta)}$ . We assume that these representations are  $n_\alpha$ -dimensional and  $n_\beta$ -dimensional, respectively. We denote the matrix representation of the element  $g \in G$  corresponding to these two representations by  $M^{(\alpha)}(g)$  and  $M^{(\beta)}(g)$ , respectively. Then we consider the tensor product representation for the representations  $\rho^{(\alpha)}$  and  $\rho^{(\beta)}$ . The matrix representation of  $g \in G$  denoted by  $M^{(\alpha \otimes \beta)}(g)$  is now a  $(n_\alpha n_\beta) \times (n_\alpha n_\beta)$  matrix whose  $(n_\beta(i-1) + a, n_\beta(j-1) + b)$  component is given by  $M_{ij}^{(\alpha)}(g)M_{ab}^{(\beta)}(g)$ .

Now we recall that for  $\rho$  of the dihedral group  $D_3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(e) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & M(c_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & M(c_3^{-1}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M(\sigma_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & M(\sigma_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & M(\sigma_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the tensor product representation of two  $\rho$ 's we obtain

$$M^{(\rho \otimes \rho)}(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(\rho \otimes \rho)}(c_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(\rho \otimes \rho)}(c_3^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(\rho \otimes \rho)}(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(\rho \otimes \rho)}(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(\rho \otimes \rho)}(\sigma_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2)

1. For  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , we confirm  $\bar{\rho}(g_1)\bar{\rho}(g_2) = \bar{\rho}(g_1g_2)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}(g_1)\bar{\rho}(g_2) &= (\rho(g_1^{-1}))^T(\rho(g_2^{-1}))^T \\ &= (\rho(g_2^{-1})\rho(g_1^{-1}))^T \\ &= (\rho(g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}))^T \\ &= (\rho((g_1g_2)^{-1}))^T \\ &= \bar{\rho}(g_1g_2). \end{aligned}$$

2. For  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , we confirm  $\rho^*(g_1)\rho^*(g_2) = \rho^*(g_1g_2)$ :

$$\rho^*(g_1)\rho^*(g_2) = (\rho(g_1))^*(\rho(g_2))^* = (\rho(g_1)\rho(g_2))^* = (\rho(g_1g_2))^* = \rho^*(g_1g_2).$$

## 2 Symmetry in Quantum Mechanics

1. Since

$$H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle, \quad H|\phi\rangle = E'|\phi\rangle,$$

we have

$$\langle\psi|H|\phi\rangle = E\langle\psi|\phi\rangle = E'\langle\psi|\phi\rangle.$$

Then we obtain

$$(E - E')\langle\psi|\phi\rangle = 0,$$

which means  $\langle\psi|\phi\rangle = 0$  for  $E \neq E'$ .

2. The existence of  $id$  is OK from the assumption (note that obviously  $idH = Hid$ ). For  $A, B$  in this set, we have

$$ABH = AHB = HAB.$$

Since  $A$  in this set is invertible, there exists  $A^{-1}$  such that  $AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = E$ . By multiplying  $A^{-1}$  both from the left and right of  $AH = HA$ , we have

$$(LHS) = A^{-1}AHA^{-1} = HA^{-1}, \quad (RHS) = A^{-1}HAA^{-1} = A^{-1}H.$$

Thus we have  $HA^{-1} = A^{-1}H$ . The associativity of the elements in this set is obviously satisfied.

3. Since  $A$  commutes with  $H$ , we have

$$HA|\psi\rangle = AH|\psi\rangle = EA|\psi\rangle.$$

4. We first define a matrix  $M$  as (for representations of  $G$  labeled by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and an element  $A \in G$ )

$$P = \sum_{A \in G} M^{(\alpha)}(A^{-1})QM^{(\beta)}(A).$$

Here  $Q$  is an arbitrary  $n_\alpha \times n_\beta$  matrix which we will take to a specific matrix later. Then we have (for  $B \in G$ )

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(\alpha)}(B)P &= \sum_{A \in G} M^{(\alpha)}(B)M^{(\alpha)}(A^{-1})QM^{(\beta)}(A) \\ &= \sum_{A \in G} M^{(\alpha)}(BA^{-1})QM^{(\beta)}(A) \\ &= \sum_{A' \in G} M^{(\alpha)}(A'^{-1})QM^{(\beta)}(A'B) \\ &= \sum_{A' \in G} M^{(\alpha)}(A'^{-1})QM^{(\beta)}(A')M^{(\beta)}(B) \\ &= PM^{(\beta)}(B). \end{aligned}$$

In the middle, we have defined  $A'^{-1} = BA^{-1}$  and replaced  $\sum_{A \in G}$  by  $\sum_{A' \in G}$  since  $\{A' = AB^{-1} | A \in G\} = G$ .

Thus from the Schur's lemma, if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  we have  $P = 0$ . By taking  $N$  to be  $Q_{ab} = 1$  and otherwise 0 (that is,  $(a, b)$ -component is 1 while the others are all zero), we can write  $P = 0$  as

$$0 = \sum_{A \in G} M_{ca}^{(\alpha)}(A^{-1})M_{bd}^{(\beta)}(A) = \sum_{A \in G} M_{ac}^{(\alpha)}(A)^* M_{bd}^{(\beta)}(A).$$

Here we have used the fact that  $M^{(\alpha)}$  is unitary:

$$M_{ca}^{(\alpha)}(A^{-1}) = (M^{(\alpha)}(A)^{-1})_{ca} = (M^{(\alpha)}(A)^\dagger)_{ca} = (M^{(\alpha)}(A)^*)_{ac} = M_{ac}^{(\alpha)}(A)^*.$$

For  $\alpha = \beta$ , from the Schur's lemma, we have  $P = C1_{n_\alpha}$  where  $C$  is a constant and  $1_{n_\alpha}$  is  $n_\alpha \times n_\alpha$  unit matrix. Then, by taking  $Q$  as above, we obtain

$$C\delta_{cd} = \sum_{A \in G} M_{ca}^{(\alpha)}(A^{-1})M_{bd}^{(\alpha)}(A).$$

By taking the trace with respect to  $(c, d)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Cn_\alpha &= \sum_{A \in G} M_{ca}^{(\alpha)}(A^{-1})M_{bc}^{(\alpha)}(A) \\ &= \sum_{A \in G} M_{ba}^{(\alpha)}(AA^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{A \in G} M_{ba}^{(\alpha)}(id) \\ &= |G|\delta_{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that  $M_{ba}^{(\alpha)}(id) = \delta_{ab}$ . From this, we have  $C = |G|\delta_{ab}/n_\alpha$ . Therefore we have obtained

$$\frac{|G|}{n_\alpha} \delta_{ab} \delta_{cd} = \sum_{A \in G} M_{ca}^{(\alpha)}(A^{-1})M_{bc}^{(\alpha)}(A) = \sum_{A \in G} (M_{ac}^{(\alpha)}(A))^* M_{bd}^{(\alpha)}(A).$$

— memo —

#### Schur's lemma

Let us consider two complex irreducible representations  $\rho^{(\alpha)} : G \rightarrow GL(n_\alpha, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\rho^{(\beta)} : G \rightarrow GL(n_\beta, \mathbb{C})$  of a finite group  $G$ . We denote their matrix representation by  $M^{(\alpha)}$  and  $M^{(\beta)}$ , respectively. We denote a matrix expression of an equivariant from  $\mathbb{C}^{n_\alpha}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{n_\beta}$  by  $N$  which satisfies  $NM^{(\alpha)}(g) = M^{(\beta)}(g)N$  for  $g \in G$ . Then

- (a) if  $N$  is not isomorphic, then  $N = 0$ .
- (b) if  $n_\alpha = n_\beta$ , then  $N = \lambda 1_{n_\alpha}$  where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Thus for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , we have  $N = 0$ , while for  $\alpha = \beta$  we have  $N = \lambda 1_{n_\alpha}$ .

5. (i) Proof by using the orthogonality relation of the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A \in G} \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | A^{-1} A O | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A \in G} \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | A^{-1} O A | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A \in G} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_c \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | A^{-1} | \psi_c^{(\gamma)} \rangle \langle \psi_c^{(\gamma)} | O A | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A \in G} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{c,d,e} \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | \psi_d^{(\gamma)} \rangle M_{dc}^{(\gamma)}(A^{-1}) \langle \psi_c^{(\gamma)} | O | \psi_e^{(\beta)} \rangle M_{eb}^{(\beta)}(A) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A \in G} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{c,d,e} \delta_{ad} \delta_{\alpha\gamma} M_{dc}^{(\gamma)}(A^{-1}) \langle \psi_c^{(\gamma)} | O | \psi_e^{(\beta)} \rangle M_{eb}^{(\beta)}(A) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A \in G} \sum_{c,e} M_{ac}^{(\alpha)}(A^{-1}) \langle \psi_c^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_e^{(\beta)} \rangle M_{eb}^{(\beta)}(A) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A \in G} \sum_{c,e} M_{ca}^{(\alpha)}(A)^* \langle \psi_c^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_e^{(\beta)} \rangle M_{eb}^{(\beta)}(A) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{A \in G} \sum_{c=1}^{n_\alpha} \sum_{d=1}^{n_\beta} M_{ca}^{(\alpha)}(A)^* M_{db}^{(\beta)}(A) \langle \psi_c^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_d^{(\beta)} \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{n_\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{c=1}^{n_\alpha} \sum_{d=1}^{n_\beta} \delta_{ab} \delta_{cd} \langle \psi_c^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_d^{(\beta)} \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{n_\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ab} \sum_{c=1}^{n_\alpha} \langle \psi_c^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_c^{(\alpha)} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is what we want to prove with  $C^{(\alpha)} = (1/n_\alpha) \sum_c \langle \psi_c^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_c^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ . Here we have used the orthogonality relation of the irreducible representationa

$$\sum_{A \in G} (M_{ac}^{(\alpha)}(A))^* M_{bd}^{(\beta)}(A) = \frac{|G|}{n_\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ab} \delta_{cd}.$$

(ii) Proof by directly using the Schur's lemma

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | A | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle &= \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | \sum_{c=1}^{n_\beta} |\psi_c^{(\beta)} \rangle M_{cb}^{(\beta)}(A) \\
 &= \sum_{c=1}^{n_\beta} \delta_{ac} \delta_{\alpha\beta} M_{cb}^{(\beta)}(A) \\
 &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} M_{ab}^{(\beta)}(A).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[O, A] = 0$  for  $A \in G$ , we have by inserting the identity operator  $id = \sum_\alpha \sum_a |\psi_a^{(\alpha)} \rangle \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} |$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | [O, A] | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | OA | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle - \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | AO | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | OA | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle - \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | AO | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{\gamma} \sum_c \left( \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_c^{(\gamma)} \rangle \langle \psi_c^{(\gamma)} | A | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle - \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | A | \psi_c^{(\gamma)} \rangle \langle \psi_c^{(\gamma)} | O | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle \right) \\
 &= \sum_c \left( \langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_c^{(\beta)} \rangle M_{cb}^{(\beta)}(A) - M_{ac}^{(\alpha)}(A) \langle \psi_c^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Because of the Schur's lemma, we first notice that  $\langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_c^{(\beta)} \rangle$  is zero unless  $\alpha = \beta$ . Moreover, from this lemma, for  $\alpha = \beta$  we also have  $\langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_c^{(\alpha)} \rangle$  is proportional to  $\delta_{ac}$ . Thus we finally have

$$\langle \psi_a^{(\alpha)} | O | \psi_b^{(\beta)} \rangle = C^{(\alpha)} \delta_{ab} \delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

where  $C^{(\alpha)}$  is a constant independent of  $a$ . One can determine  $C^{(\alpha)}$  by setting  $\alpha = \beta$  and taking the trace with respect to  $(a, b)$ . Then we reproduce  $C^{(\alpha)}$  obtained by using the method (i).