Topologically protected quantum computation

James R. Wootton Ville Lahtinen Jiannis K. Pachos









- •Non-Abelian anyons have non-trivial fusion spaces.
- Fusion information is stored non-locally.
- •This makes non-Abelian anyons a natural choice to encode quantum information fault-tolerantly.
- •We realize equivalent properties in Abelian models (non-locality, non-Abelian fusion rules).
- •Non-topological operations are introduced to allow universal quantum computation.

The anyonic model



- •Many Abelian models can be used. We consider $D(Z_6)$.
- Square lattice with a six level spin on each edge.
- •The basis states of the spins, $|g\rangle_i$, are labelled by elements $g \in Z_6$.

•Generalized Pauli operators,

$$\sigma_i^z = \sum_g e^{i\pi g/3} |g\rangle_i \langle g|, \ \sigma_i^x = \sum_g |g+1\rangle_i \langle g|.$$

The anyonic model



•Anyons are defined by plaquette and vertex projectors,

$$P_{e_{g}}(v), P_{m_{h}}(p).$$

[A. Kitaev, Annals Phys. 303 (2003), 2-30]

• Five non-trivial charge anyons can exist on vertices.

$$e_1, ..., e_5$$

• Five non-trivial flux anyons can exist on plaquettes,

$$m_1, ..., m_5$$

•The Hamiltonian assigns equal energy to all anyons,

$$H = -\sum_{v} P_{1}(v) - \sum_{p} P_{1}(p)$$



The anyonic model



•The application of $(\sigma_i^z)^g$ on a spin i creates an e_g , e_{-g} pair on neighbouring vertices.

•The application of $(\sigma_i^x)^g$ creates a m_g , m_{-g} pair on neighbouring plaquettes.



The quasiparticles



- •Use these anyons to construct new quasiparticles ϕ , $\overline{\phi}$ and λ .
- •These are defined by the projectors,

$$P_{\phi}(v) = P_{e_1}(v) + P_{e_4}(v),$$

$$P_{\overline{\phi}}(v) = P_{e_2}(v) + P_{e_5}(v),$$

$$P_{\lambda}(v) = P_{e_3}(v).$$

•The fusion rules are,

$$\phi \times \overline{\phi} = 1 + \lambda \quad \phi \times \lambda = \phi, \quad \overline{\phi} \times \lambda = \overline{\phi}, \quad \lambda \times \lambda = 1.$$

The quasiparticles

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

•A λ pair can be created on vertices either side of a spin, i, by

$$W_i^{\lambda} = (\sigma_i^z)^{r}.$$

•A ϕ , $\overline{\phi}$ pair can be created with,

V

$$W_i^{\phi} = \sigma_i^z + (\sigma_i^z)^{\dagger} = \sigma_i^z [\gamma + (\sigma_i^z)^{\dagger}].$$

•The non-local nature of the fusion is provided by,

$$V_{i}^{\phi} W_{i}^{\lambda} = \sigma_{i}^{z} [\gamma + (\sigma_{i}^{z})^{r}] (\sigma_{i}^{z})^{r} = W_{i}^{\phi}$$

$$\int_{\overline{\phi}}^{\phi \times \lambda} \lambda = \int_{\overline{\phi} \times \lambda}^{\phi} \lambda$$

Qubit encoding



•We can use the ϕ quasiparticles to store, protect and manipulate quantum information.





Qubit encoding



•Similar particles, X and μ can be defined on plaquettes,

$$P_{\chi}(p) = P_{m_{\chi}}(p) + P_{m_{\chi}}(p), \quad P_{\overline{\chi}}(p) = P_{m_{\chi}}(p) + P_{m_{\Delta}}(p),$$
$$P_{\mu}(p) = P_{m_{\chi}}(p).$$

$$W_i^{\mu} = (\sigma_i^x)^{\mathfrak{r}}, \quad W_i^{\chi} = \sigma_i^x + (\sigma_i^x)^{\mathfrak{r}} = \sigma_i^x [\mathcal{V} + (\sigma_i^x)^{\mathfrak{r}}].$$



Logical Pauli rotations



• The logical Z operators correspond to vertex operators,

$$Z_{v} = A^{r}(v_{1}) A^{r}(v_{r}) \text{ or } A^{r}(v_{r}) A^{r}(v_{r}), A^{r}(v_{r}) = 1 - \mathcal{V} P_{\lambda}(v)$$

•The logical X operators correspond to spin operators,

$$X_{\nu} = (\sigma_{1}^{z})^{r} \text{ or } (\sigma_{f}^{z})^{r}.$$

• Corresponding logical Paulis exist for qubits stored on plaquettes.



Controlled-phase gate



•Braiding a λ around a μ gives a phase of -1.

• This may be used to realized a controlled-phase between logical qubits.



$$\begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & p \\ & \cdot & p \end{vmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & p \\ & \cdot & p \end{vmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & p \\ & \cdot & p \end{vmatrix},$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & p \\ & \cdot & p \end{vmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & p \\ & \cdot & p \end{vmatrix},$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & p \\ & \cdot & p \end{vmatrix} \rightarrow -\begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & p \\ & \cdot & p \end{vmatrix},$$

Spin measurements



•Since,

$$X_{v} = (\sigma_{1}^{z})^{r} \text{ or } (\sigma_{f}^{z})^{r},$$

when ϕ and $\overline{\phi}$ are neighbours, single spin measurements on the lattice can realize logical X measurements.

• Single spin measurements may be used to apply the projector,

$$\Pi_{\theta} = \frac{1}{\Upsilon} (1 + \cos\theta (\sigma_1^x)^{\varphi} + i\sin\theta (\sigma_1^z)^{\varphi} (\sigma_1^x)^{\varphi}).$$

• This allows us to create the state,

$$|a_{v}^{\theta}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\cos\theta|\star_{v}\rangle - i\sin\theta|\uparrow_{v}\rangle).$$

Universal quantum computation



• Universal quantum computation:



•Another gate set can be used without braids that employs entangling operations on the lattice spins.





- Our encoding depends upon degeneracies within the fusion space.
- •These degeneracies may be lifted by perturbations in the Hamiltonian, which causes errors.
- •Most perturbations are suppressed by the gap. The dangerous perturbations are those that form strings around or touching the quasiparticles.

Fault-tolerance



- •The smallest dangerous perturbation is any $\sigma_i^{x/z}$ acting on a spin neighbouring a quasiparticle.
- This can cause the quasiparticles to split, and so disturb the encoding space. This does not cost energy, and so is not protected by the gap.
- •We can invert the coupling of the plaquettes and vertices on which the quasiparticles reside. This assigns energy to an absence of anyons.
- This creates an additional gap to suppress such perturbations.
- Perturbations that stretch between the quasiparticles may lift the degeneracy. These are suppressed by separation.





- Perturbations may lift the degeneracy of the stored information if they stretch between the pairs.
- •An example would be a perturbation

$$\delta A^3(v_1).$$

•To protect against these, note that the creation operator for a ϕ , ϕ pair contains a projection,

$$W_i^{\phi} = \sigma_i^z [1 + (\sigma_i^z)^3].$$

•An additional single spin term, $B(\sigma_i^x)^3$, will therefore not affect the encoding space.

•This term suppresses the perturbations by $(\delta/B)^2$.







- Abelian models are simpler to implement experimentally.
- •We can achieve the same encoding as in non-Abelian models.
- •Quantum information is manipulated by braiding and single spin measurements.
- Fault-tolerance is enhanced by external magnetic fields.
- •The method we use is general, and may be applied to many abelian models.