Measuring Functional Renormalization Group Fixed-Point Functions for Pinned Manifolds
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Exact numerical minimization of interface energies is used to test the functional renormalization group analysis for interfaces pinned by quenched disorder. The fixed-point function $R(u)$ (the correlator of the coarse-grained disorder) is computed. In dimensions $D = d + 1$, a linear cusp in $R^2(u)$ is confirmed for random bond ($d = 1, 2, 3$), random field ($d = 0, 2, 3$), and periodic ($d = 2, 3$) disorders. The functional shocks that lead to this cusp are seen. Small, but significant, deviations from the 1-loop calculation are compared to 2-loop corrections and chaos is measured.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.155701

PACS numbers: 64.60.Ak, 02.60.Pn, 05.10.Cc, 75.10.Nr

Systems with quenched (frozen-in) disorder often exhibit glassy phases at low temperature. Standard perturbative methods fail to describe these phases and exact results are limited to 1D and mean-field models [1–3]. It has been quite a challenge to develop field theoretic and renormalization group (RG) methods that include both metastable states and spatial fluctuations to describe universal properties of these phases. Proposed field theories are unconventional and harder to control than those for pure critical systems. An expansion around the mean-field replica symmetry (and ergodicity) broken (RSB) solution, much studied in spin glasses, is very difficult even at the 1-loop level [4]. The functional RG (FRG) was developed for elastic objects pinned by substrate disorder and random fields, including vortex lattices, magnetic systems, and charge density waves [5–8]. The 1-loop FRG has been extended to describe, e.g., depinning of a driven interface [9], activated dynamics [10], quantum models [11], and sensitivity of configurations to disorder changes (“chaos”) [12]. Since the FRG parametrizes the effective action by functions, rather than the few couplings of standard RG, it is better suited to handle an infinite number of marginal parameters at the upper critical dimension (or runaway flows as in correlated ferromagnets [13]).

When applying the FRG to pinned elastic manifolds parametrized by a scalar displacement field $u(x)$, the function in the effective action whose flow is relevant below $d = 4$ is denoted by $R(u)$. Physically, this function represents a coarse graining of the correlator of the pinning potential. An unusual feature of the theory is that $R^2(u)$ can develop a linear cusp around $u = 0$ at finite scale [6]. In the space of nonanalytic functions, perturbative control was recovered to one-loop order [i.e., to $O(\epsilon = 4 - d)$] and fixed-point functions $R(u)$ obtained for various universality classes [6,8,14]. The relations between this cusp singularity, multiple metastable states, and shocks in energy landscapes have been vividly described [15]. The FRG agrees with phenomenological models and successfully predicts the roughness exponent $\zeta$ of the pinned interface, with the disorder-averaged correlation function $\langle u(x) - u(0) \rangle^2 \sim x^{2\zeta}$ [16–18].

Though much has been achieved, it has been questioned [14] whether the FRG can be extended in a systematic loop expansion, i.e., to higher order in $\epsilon$. Dealing with a nonanalytic action is very subtle [19,20]. Recently, candidate renormalizable field theories for statics [19,21] and depinning [22] were obtained beyond one loop. Crucial to their construction is the property that the cusp remains linear to higher orders. If confirmed, the FRG provides a simpler method to attack glass problems where the RSB phenomenology can be avoided.

This Letter presents a new level of “smoking gun” tests of the FRG for manifolds, by directly measuring the fixed-point function $R(u)$ for three universality classes (Figs. 1–3). This is achieved by adding to the disorder a parabolic potential (a mass $m$) with a variable location $v$ for the minimum [23]. This leads to a sample-dependent free

![FIG. 1 (color). Filled symbols show numerical results for $Y(z)$, a normalized form of the interface displacement correlator $\langle u(x) - u(0) \rangle^2 \sim x^{2\zeta}$, and two random field (RF) and one random bond (RB) disorders. The inset plots the slope $Y'(z)$, with $Y'(0) \approx -0.807$ from a quadratic fit (dashed line), indicating a linear cusp. Open symbols plot the cross-correlator ratio $Y_{RF}(z) = \Delta_{12}(z)/\Delta_{11}(0)$ between two related RF disorders; it does not exhibit a cusp. The points are for confining wells with width given by $M^2 = 0.02$. Comparisons to 1-loop FRG predictions (curves) have no adjustable parameters.](https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.155701/FIG.1)
energy $\tilde{V}(v)$. The second cumulant correlator of $\tilde{V}(v)$ in $v$ space is the same $R(v)$ function as defined by the replicated effective action in the FRG (deviations arise only in higher cumulants [23]). This is analogous to measuring the coupling constant and the total magnetization distribution in pure systems [24]. As in pure systems, the FRG predictions are universal at coarse-grained scales, but require specifying the large scale boundary conditions (BCs). The mass provides these conditions and also allows one to control and quantify the zero mode (center of mass) fluctuations, yielding the coupling function $R(u)$. This procedure allowed an exact calculation [23] of $R(u)$ for the $D = 0 + 1$ theory with RF disorder (Sinaï’s model).

We numerically compute the FRG fixed-point functions using exact ground state configurations. We study interfaces embedded in dimensions $D = d + 1$, $d = 0, 1, 2, 3$ including random bond (RB), random field (RF), and periodic (RP) disorder. We focus on universal, parameter-free functions; treatment of universal amplitudes is presented separately [25]. The linear cusp in $\Delta(u) = -R'(u)$ is confirmed in all cases. For periodic disorder, $\Delta(u)$ is consistent with the conjectured parabolic form. For RB and RF disorder, the scaled $\Delta(u)$ are distinct from the 1-loop calculations and are closer to the 2-loop results, though the curves are at most weakly dependent on $d$. The functional shocks responsible for the cusp in $\Delta(u)$ are directly seen. The statistics of these shocks are consistent with $d = 0$ Burgers intermittency. Cross-correlation (chaos) fixed points for two related copies of the disorder show a rounding of $\Delta(u)$ consistent with recent FRG predictions [12].

The continuum Hamiltonian for an interface $u(x)$ of internal area $\Omega$ with elastic constant $K$, confined in a parabolic well centered at $v$, is

$$\mathcal{H}(v) = \int_\Omega dx \left[ \frac{K}{2} \left( \nabla u \right)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} (u - v)^2 + V(x, u(x)) \right],$$

where the random potential $V$ has correlations $V(0, x)V(u, x') = R_0(u) \delta^{(d)}(x - x')$. The RB universality class includes short ranged $R_0(u)$, the RF class has $R_0(u) \sim -\sigma u$ at large $u$, and the RP class has periodic correlations, e.g., $R_0(u + 1) = R_0(u)$. The bare correlator $R_0(u)$ becomes $R(u)$ upon coarse graining. Given a UV cutoff scale $b$, fixed $\Omega b^{-d}$, and continuous $V(x, u)$, the minimum energy configuration $u(x, v)$ is unique and smoothly varying with $v$, but for discrete shock positions where $u(x, v)$ jumps between degenerate minima.

For numerics, interfaces $u(x)$ are described by a set $I$ of edge-sharing plaquettes $p$. Plaquettes are dual to the edges in a regular lattice composed of $H$ layers. Each layer has $L^d$ points, unit cell volume $\Omega_0$, and periodic BCs. Each point is connected to points in the layer above by $\kappa$ bonds, so that an interface $I$ has $\kappa L^d$ plaquettes [25]. The energy $\mathcal{H}_{\text{latt}}$ of $I$, confined by a well of strength $M$ centered at $v$, is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{latt}}(v) = \sum_{p \in I} \left[ \frac{M^2}{2} (u(p) - v)^2 + U(p) \right],$$

where $u(p)$ is the layer index for plaquette $p$ and $U(p)$ is
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FIG. 2 (color). The difference between the normalized correlator $Y(z)$ and the 1-loop prediction $Y_1(z)$ for RF disorder in $D = (1, 2) + 1$ and RB disorder in $D = (1, 2, 3) + 1$. The dashed lines are the linear 2-loop correction $Y_2(z) = \frac{\partial Y(z)}{\partial z}$ of Eq. (5). For each disorder class, the data are close to each other and to the $d = 0$ and $\epsilon = 1$ linear two-loop estimates, but are distinct from the 1-loop result.

FIG. 3 (color). (a) Plots of the normalized pinning force correlator $Y(z)$ for RP disorder in $d = 3$. For these values of $m$, the period $P = 4$ points have mostly converged to the parabolic RP fixed-point function, while the $P = 8$ curves are still crossing over from the RB to the RP universality class. (b) Residuals relative to the parabolic shape vanish, within error bars, for larger sizes and $P = 4$. 
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the disorder potential. Long-wavelength elasticity arises from combinatorial effects [16]. For RB disorder, \( U(p) \) is a Gaussian variable \( h(p) \) with zero mean and variance \( \sigma^2 \), while for RF disorder \( U(p) \) is the sum of \( h(p) \) along a path of edges connecting \( p \) to the bottom layer. RP disorder with period \( P \) is constructed by stacking \( H/P \) identical RB samples of thickness \( P \). Given \( U(p), v, \) and \( M \), the ground state \( \mathcal{F} \) is found using a program that accommodates all lattices, dimensionalities, and disorder types. The new version of the core max-flow algorithm [16] in our code has been directly tested against standard libraries and earlier applications [16–18,26]. The height \( H \) is large enough that finite size effects are controlled only by \( L \) and \( M \). Lattice discreteness is evident at large \( v \) scaled correlator

\[
\langle y \rangle / \sigma^2 \lesssim 10,000 \quad \text{over } v \cdot \langle y \rangle / \sigma^2 \lesssim 10,000 \quad \text{over } v
\]

and \( e.g., \) the amplitude converges to the FRG fixed-point function \( \sim 10^{-16} \) over \( v \).

The plots we present have \( v \cdot \langle y \rangle / \sigma^2 \lesssim 10,000 \), well strengths \( m^2 = \mathcal{M}_b / \chi, \) and disorder strengths \( \sigma = \sigma / \chi \). We computed the discrete force-force correlation [23]:

\[
\Delta_{\text{lat}}(v) = M^4(\kappa L^d)[u'_v + v - u_0(v')] [v' - u_0(v')],
\]

where the mean position \( u_0(v) = (\kappa L^d)^{-1} \sum_{u \in I} u(p) \). The averaging (overline) is for \( N > 10^3 \) samples with \( 0 \leq v' < P \) for RP disorder; RF and RP samples are self-averaging over \( v' \) (we slide \( v' \) over more than \( 10^3 \) times the interface width while computing minima in a minima window centered at \( v' \)). The plots we present have 1σ error bars computed using direct resampling of the data. To check our procedure, we confirm that \( \int_0^b \text{d}u \Delta_{\text{lat}}(u) = \) consistent within errors with the value \( \sigma_0 \) for RF disorder and with the value 0 for RB and RP disorders.

The FRG predicts that, for large volumes \( \Omega / b^d \), the rescaled correlator \( \Delta(z) \), defined by \( \Delta(u) = m^{\beta - 4\xi} \Delta(\chi u \sigma) \), converges to the FRG fixed-point function \( \Delta^*(z) \), which depends on \( d \) and disorder class, as \( m \to 0 \). Using Eq. (3), convergence of \( M^{\beta - 4\xi} \Delta_{\text{lat}}(z^m) \) was evident for \( L > 16 \), choosing \( [16] \xi = 2/3, 0.4, 0.22, \text{ for } d = 1, 2, 3, \) RB disorder and \( \chi = (4 - d)/3 \) for RF disorder. The interface widths grow slowly (\( \xi = 0 \)) for RP disorder. As the FP functions still contain an amplitude and a scale, we introduce the normalized function \( Y(z) \),

\[
\Delta(u) = \Delta(0) Y(u / \xi),
\]

so that \( Y(0) = 1 \). The scale \( \xi \) depends on disorder type: for the RP model, \( \xi = \pi \), for RF disorder, \( \xi \) is set so that \( \int_0^b \text{d}z Y(z) = 1 \), and for RB disorder, \( \int_0^\infty \text{d}z Y(z) = 1 \). The scaled \( Y(z; d) \) is universal, where the dependence on \( d \) can be computed to second order in \( \epsilon := 4 - d \) [19,21]

\[
Y(z; d) = Y_1(z) + \epsilon Y_2(z) + O(\epsilon^2),
\]

with \( Y_1(z) \) the 1-loop estimate [6,8,14]. Computation of \( K \) is required to fix universal information not retained in \( Y(z) \), e.g., the amplitude \( \Delta(0) \) for RF disorder [25].

We plot illustrative examples of \( Y(z) \) in Fig. 1. In all cases, an apparently linear cusp is found for \( Y(z) \) [with finite intercepts for fits to \( Y'(z) \)]. The normalized functions are remarkably close to 1-loop predictions, with no adjustable parameter. We now turn to a systematic analysis of these functions, their deviation from 1-loop results, and related data.

We start with RF disorder. The FRG predictions for the functions \( Y_1(z) \) and \( Y_2(z) \) in (5) are obtained from linearizing the \( O(\epsilon^2) \) relation [21] 

\[
Y(z) - Y_1(z) = \int_0^{d-1} \text{d}x \sqrt{\frac{1 - \ln x - \frac{1}{2} \ln y + \frac{1}{2} \ln y} {\int_0^y \text{d}y' \sqrt{1 - \ln x - \frac{1}{2} \ln y'}},
\]

where \( F(y) = 2y - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln y - \frac{1}{4} \ln y + L_2(1 - y) \). Plots of the differences \( Y(z) - Y_1(z) \) between the numerical result and the 1-loop prediction [6], for several sizes and masses in \( D = 2 + 1 \) and \( D = 3 + 1 \), are shown in Fig. 2. There are small, but statistically significant, systematic deviations from \( Y_1(z) \). The sign of the expected corrections linear in \( \epsilon \), \( Y_2(z) \), agrees with numerics. This function changes sign at \( \xi = 1.668 \ldots \), near the observed location. The magnitude of \( \epsilon Y_2(z) \), setting \( \epsilon = 1 \), is nearly consistent with numerics for all \( d \). We include \( 0 + 1 \) numerical results (compatible with Refs. [23,27]) for comparison. Points for \( D = 2 + 1, 3 + 1 \) are both close to \( d = 0 + 1 \) results. Our computed slopes at the origin, \(-Y'(0) = 0.815(7) (3 + 1) \) and \(-Y'(0) = 0.811(6) (2 + 1) \), are to be compared with the FRG value 0.7753... (0.0328...) and the \( d = 0 \) value 0.8109... The near equality of the \( d = 0 \) curve and \( Y_2(z) \) appears to be a coincidence. Although more work is needed to resolve the differences (e.g., \( d = 0 \) from \( d = 2, 3 \)) the trend of the FRG results is encouraging.

For RB disorder, \( R(u) \) is expected to decay [so \( \Delta(u) \) has a zero]. Fixing \( \xi \) as stated sets a nonuniversal scale. The differences \( Y(z) - Y_1(z) \) are plotted in Fig. 2: we again find small but significant deviations from the 1-loop prediction, with at most a weak dependence on \( d \) (within error bars). The \( O(\epsilon^2) \) expansion in this case is found from series and numerical solutions [21]. The resulting \( Y_2(z) \) again agrees well in shape and sign with the data, with a magnitude given by \( \epsilon \approx 1 \). We have constructed 2-loop interpolations which agree with the data in all \( d \) [25]. The situation resembles that for RF disorder, even though deviations have the opposite sign.

The computed function \( Y(z) \) for RP disorder is shown in Fig. 3 for \( d = 3 \); similar results hold for \( d = 2 \). The 1- and 2-loop FRGs predict [8,21] a parabolic form, \( \Delta(u) = \Delta(0)[1 - 6u(1 - u)] \), as do the \( d = 0 \) and the large-\( d \) cases (with a single shock as \( m \to 0 \) [15] and many small independent shocks per period [20], respectively). Derivative counting in the FRG equation has indicated that the parabolic form holds for finite \( d \). The parabolic form is consistent with our results as \( m \to 0 \). The use of a harmonic well allows one to define and study the shocks in the force landscape. As \( v \) increases, sections of the manifold have degenerate minima at positions \( v_s \) and the polarization jumps forward by \( \int d^d \delta(u(x; v_s^+) - u(x; v_s^-)) \). These are shocks in a functional (scalar for \( d = 0 \)) decaying Burgers equation [23], with the renormalized force \( v - u(v) \) corresponding to
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Our data show linearity of correlations of such data give the main plot. The functional shocks found are consistent with expectations; their statistics merit further study.
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