

# On the spectrum of the critical $O(n)$ model in two dimensions

Sylvain Ribault (IPhT Saclay)

Work done in the context of a collaboration with  
Linnea Grans-Samuelsson, Rongvoram Nivesvivat, Jesper Jacobsen, and  
Hubert Saleur

To be sketched in [LGS + RN + JJ + SR + HS, in preparation]

More details and Potts model case in [SR et al, to appear]

**$O(n)$  model:** a 2d CFT that describes the critical limit of loop models

**Problem:** write the spectrum as a representation of

$$\text{conformal algebra} \times \text{global symmetry group} \quad (1)$$

**Global symmetry:** orthogonal group  $O(n)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Meaning?

- Lattice model makes sense [Nienhuis 1982]
- $O(n)$  representations and their tensor products make sense with  $n \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{N} \iff n \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \infty$  [Binder + Rychkov 2019]

# The partition function of the $O(n)$ model on a torus

[di Francesco + Saleur + Zuber 1987] computed

$$Z^{O(n)}(q) = \sum_{s \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \chi_s(q) + \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}} D_{(r,s)}(n) \chi_{(r,s)}(q) \quad (2)$$

Characters of representations of the conformal algebra:

$$\chi_s(q) = \left| \frac{q^{P_{(1,s)}^2} - q^{P_{(1,-s)}^2}}{\eta(q)} \right|^2, \quad \chi_{(r,s)}(q) = \frac{q^{P_{(r,s)}^2} \bar{q}^{P_{(r,-s)}^2}}{|\eta(q)|^2} \quad (3)$$

Momentums:

$$P_{(r,s)} = \frac{1}{2} (\beta r - \beta^{-1} s) \quad \text{with} \quad n = -2 \cos(\pi \beta^2) \quad (4)$$

Coefficients  $D_{(r,s)}(n) = \delta_{r,1} \delta_{s \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} + \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{r'=0}^{2r} e^{\pi i r' s} p_{(2r) \wedge r'}(n)$

# Structure of the spectrum $\mathcal{S}^{O(n)}$

$$Z^{O(n)}(q) = \sum_{s \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \chi_s(q) + \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}} D_{(r,s)}(n) \chi_{(r,s)}(q)$$

$$\mathcal{S}^{O(n)} = \bigoplus_{s \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \square \otimes \mathcal{R}_s \oplus \bigoplus_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \bigoplus_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_{(r,s)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{(r,s)} \quad (5)$$

- $\square$  = singlet representation of  $O(n)$
- $\Lambda_{(r,s)}$  = representations of  $O(n)$  to be determined
- $\mathcal{R}_s, \mathcal{W}_{(r,s)}$  = representations of the conformal algebra

$$\boxed{\dim_{O(n)} \Lambda_{(r,s)} = D_{(r,s)}(n)} \quad , \quad \begin{cases} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}_s} q^{D_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \bar{q}^{\bar{D}_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = \chi_s(q) \\ \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{W}_{(r,s)}} q^{D_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \bar{q}^{\bar{D}_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = \chi_{(r,s)}(q) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

# Representations of the conformal algebra

- $\mathcal{R}_s$  = degenerate representation
- $\mathcal{W}_{(r,s)}$  unless  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  = Verma module
- $\mathcal{W}_{(r,s)}$  with  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  = logarithmic representation

Structure of logarithmic representations:

- Use degenerate fields and reduce  $\mathcal{W}_{(r,s)}$  to  $\mathcal{W}_{(r,0)}$   
[Estienne + Ikhlef 2015] [Gorbenko + Zan 2020]  
[Nivesvivat + Ribault 2020]
- Critical limit of the lattice model  
[Grans-Samuelsson + Liu + He + Jacobsen + Saleur 2020]
- Check results by numerically bootstrapping some 4pt functions  
[Nivesvivat + Ribault 2020]

# Representations of $O(n)$ and their dimensions

Main facts and references in the (recently created) Wikipedia article  
[\[Representations of classical Lie groups\]](#)

Irreducible finite-dimensional representations  $\leftrightarrow$  Young diagrams

Dimension as a polynomial in  $n$  [\[El Samra + King 1979\]](#)

$$\dim_{O(n)} \lambda = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \lambda \\ i \geq j}} \frac{n + \lambda_i + \lambda_j - i - j}{h_\lambda(i,j)} \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \lambda \\ i < j}} \frac{n - \tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_j + i + j - 2}{h_\lambda(i,j)} \quad (7)$$

$\lambda = [85542] :$

$h_\lambda(4, 2) = 4$

$\lambda_3 = 5$

$\tilde{\lambda}_4 = 4$

(8)

# First few representations

$$\dim_{O(n)}[1] = n$$

$$\dim_{O(n)}[2] = \frac{1}{2}(n+2)(n-1)$$

$$\dim_{O(n)}[3] = \frac{1}{6}(n+4)n(n-1)$$

$$\dim_{O(n)}[11] = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$$

$$\dim_{O(n)}[111] = \frac{1}{6}n(n-1)(n-2)$$

$$\dim_{O(n)}[21] = \frac{1}{3}n(n^2 - 4)$$

$$D_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}(n) = n$$

$$D_{(1,0)}(n) = \frac{1}{2}(n+2)(n-1)$$

$$D_{(1,1)}(n) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$$

$$D_{(\frac{3}{2},0)}(n) = \frac{1}{3}n(n^2 - 1)$$

$$D_{(\frac{3}{2},\frac{2}{3})}(n) = \frac{1}{3}n(n^2 - 4)$$

$$\text{Unique solutions: } \begin{cases} \Lambda_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} = [1] \\ \Lambda_{(1,0)} = [2] \\ \Lambda_{(1,1)} = [11] \\ \Lambda_{(\frac{3}{2},\frac{2}{3})} = [21] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Ambiguity: } \Lambda_{(\frac{3}{2},0)} \in \begin{cases} [3] + [111] \\ [21] + [1] \end{cases}$$

# The hint from the open spin chain

Open spin chain spectrum

$$\Lambda_r = \sum_{s'=0}^{2r-1} \Lambda_{(r, \frac{s'}{r})} - \delta_{r,1} \square \quad (9)$$

$SU(2)$  tensor product rule [\[Read + Saleur 2007\]](#)

$$\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \Lambda_r = \Lambda_{r-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \Lambda_{r+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (10)$$

Consequence:

$$\dim_{O(n)} \Lambda_r = p_{2r}(n) \quad , \quad \Lambda_r = p_{2r}([1]) \quad (11)$$

with the (modified) Chebyshev polynomials  $p_d(n)$  defined by

$$p_0(n) = 2 \quad , \quad p_1(n) = n \quad , \quad np_d(n) = p_{d-1}(n) + p_{d+1}(n) \quad (12)$$



# Naively following the hint

Trying to solve  $\dim_{O(n)} \Lambda_{(r,s)} = D_{(r,s)}(n)$  with [dF + S + Z 1987]

$$D_{(r,s)}(n) = \delta_{r,1} \delta_{s \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} + \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{r'=0}^{2r} e^{\pi i r' s} p_{(2r) \wedge r'}(n) \quad (13)$$

First few polynomials:

$$p_2(n) = n^2 - 2 \quad (14)$$

$$p_3(n) = n^3 - 3n \quad (15)$$

$$p_4(n) = n^4 - 4n^2 + 2 \quad (16)$$

Why not  $\Lambda_{(r,s)} = D_{(r,s)}([1])$ ? Does not work!

$$D_{(1,1)}([1]) = \frac{1}{2} \left( [2] + [11] - [1] + \emptyset \right) \quad (17)$$

# Alternating hook representations, and the conjecture

$$\Phi_t = \delta_{t \equiv 0 \pmod 2} \square + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (-1)^k [t-k, 1^k] \quad \text{obeys} \quad \dim_{O(n)} \Phi_t = n \quad (18)$$

$$\Phi_5 = [5] - [41] + [311] - [2111] + [11111] \quad (19)$$

$$= \square\square\square\square\square - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square\square\square\square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square\square\square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square\square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (20)$$

$$\Lambda_{(r,s)} = \delta_{r,1} \delta_{s \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \square + \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{r'=0}^{2r} e^{\pi i r' s} p_{(2r) \wedge r'} \left( \Phi_{\frac{2r}{(2r) \wedge r'}} \right) \quad (21)$$

$$D_{(r,s)}(n) = \delta_{r,1} \delta_{s \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} + \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{r'=0}^{2r} e^{\pi i r' s} p_{(2r) \wedge r'}(n)$$

# First few examples

$$\Lambda_{(\frac{3}{2}, 0)} = [3] + [111]$$

$$\Lambda_{(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{2}{3})} = [21]$$

$$\Lambda_{(2, 0)} = [4] + [22] + [211] + [2] + [] \quad [\text{Gorbenko + Zan 2020}]$$

$$\Lambda_{(2, \frac{1}{2})} = [31] + [11] + [211]$$

$$\Lambda_{(2, 1)} = [2] + [31] + [1111] + [22]$$

$$\Lambda_{(\frac{5}{2}, 0)} = [32] + 2[311] + [1] + [221] + [3] + 2[21] + [5] + [111] + [11111]$$

$$\Lambda_{(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{2}{5})} = [32] + [311] + [1] + [221] + [3] + [2111] + 2[21] + [111] + [41]$$

## More examples

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{(3,0)} = & [6] + 2[42] + 2[411] + [33] + 2[321] + 2[3111] + 2[222] \\ & + [2211] + [21111] + 2[4] + 4[31] + 4[22] + 4[211] + 2[1111] \\ & + 4[2] + 2[11] + 2[\ ]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{(3,\frac{1}{3})} = & [51] + [42] + 2[411] + [33] + 3[321] + [3111] + 2[2211] + [21111] \\ & + [4] + 5[31] + 2[22] + 5[211] + [1111] + 2[2] + 4[11]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{(3,\frac{2}{3})} = & [51] + 2[42] + [411] + 3[321] + 2[3111] + [222] + [2211] + [21111] \\ & + 2[4] + 4[31] + 4[22] + 4[211] + 2[1111] + 4[2] + 2[11] + [\ ]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{(3,1)} = & [51] + [42] + 2[411] + 2[33] + 2[321] + 2[3111] + [222] \\ & + 2[2211] + [111111] + [4] + 5[31] + 2[22] + 5[211] + [1111] \\ & + 2[2] + 4[11]\end{aligned}$$

- Testing the conjecture by **numerically bootstrapping** 4pt functions [LGS + RN + JJ + SR + HS, in preparation]
- Finding fewer solutions of crossing symmetry than predicted by  $O(n)$ : a manifestation of a **larger global symmetry**? [Read + Saleur 2007]
- Larger symmetry is needed for taming the **nontrivial multiplicities** in the spectrum
- Similar conjecture for the spectrum of the  **$Q$ -state Potts model** with  $Q \in \mathbb{C}$  [SR et al, to appear]