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Abstract – We report an experimental study of the motion of magnetized beads driven by a travelling-wave magnetic field. For sufficiently large wave speed, we report the existence of a backward motion, in which the sphere can move in the direction opposite to the driving wave. We show that the transition to this new state is strongly subcritical and can lead to chaotic motion of the bead. For some parameters, this counterpropagation of the sphere can be one order of magnitude faster than the driving-wave speed. These results are understood in the framework of a model based on the interplay among solid friction, air resistance and magnetic torque.
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Introduction. – Many situations, in laboratory or in Nature, involve the locomotion of spherical particles on a solid plane through a viscous fluid [1]. Despite the apparent simplicity of the problem, there are still many unsolved questions concerning the exact mechanisms controlling the motion in these systems, such as the transition from static to rolling friction, the role of surface elasticity, or the hydrodynamical interaction between the fluid and the moving object.

During the last decade, this type of problem has gained some renewed interest in the field of microfluidics. For instance, biomedical applications involve microrobots such as artificial micro-swimmers made of super-paramagnetic beads subject to an external magnetic field [2,3]. In some cases (so-called “surface walkers”), it has been reported that actuation of the microrobot can be controlled by the presence of a surface wall [4,5]. On the other hand, the problem of particles transported by electromagnetic waves is widely studied in the framework of the plasma-electrons interaction. Surprisingly, only few studies have been done on electromagnetic control of particles on macroscopic scales [6]. In this letter, we focus on the motion of macroscopic magnetic beads on a flat horizontal surface driven by the magnetic force due to a travelling wave.

Experiment. – Figure 1 shows a schematic picture of the experiment: a spherical bead is placed on a fixed horizontal plane made of methyl-methacrylate (plexiglass) of width \( a_p = 5 \text{ mm} \). The bead, of radius \( a \), is made either of weakly ferromagnetic mild steel or neodymium NdFeB (permanent magnet) depending on the experiments and can freely move on the surface. At a distance \( h \) below the plane is located a rotating disc containing 16 neodymium magnets disposed with a regular spacing along a circle of radius \( R = 83 \text{ mm} \). These magnets are cylinders of diameter \( d_m = 20 \text{ mm} \) and height \( h_m = 10 \text{ mm} \), generating a magnetic field of \( B_m^0 = 0.45 \text{ T} \) at their surface. The magnets are arranged such that two adjacent magnets, separated by a distance \( d_m = 2\pi R/16 = 32.5 \text{ mm} \), are oriented with opposite polarity. This rotating disc therefore generates a sinusoidal magnetic field propagating in the azimutal direction with a pulsation \( \omega = 2\pi f_d/16 \) and a wave number \( k = \pi/d_m \), where \( f_d \) is the rotation rate.

Fig. 1: (Colour online) Experimental set-up. A bead moves on a flat surface under the effect of a traveling magnetic wave created by 16 neodymium magnets located on a rotating disc.
of the disc. The bead therefore moves with a velocity $V$ (normalized by the wave speed $c$) under the influence of this travelling magnetic field along circular trajectories which are recorded by a fast camera located on the top of the set-up. By changing the distance $h$ between the rotating disc and the fixed plate, one can also experimentally vary the magnitude of the magnetic field applied to the sphere.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the normalized velocity $V$ of a mild-steel bead of radius $a = 3$ mm as a function of the wave speed $c$. At small disc frequency, the bead moves in synchronism with the wave ($V = 1$, upper branch). This synchronous translation is relatively intuitive: under the effect of the applied field, the magnetized bead is trapped inside the potential well of one of the magnet of the disc. As the disc rotates, the sphere therefore slides above the magnet without rolling and keeps its magnetic moment aligned with the local field (time series in the inset figure, black curve, for $c = 3 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$).

However, for larger wave speed ($c > 5 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$), the system suddenly bifurcates to a very different solution, in which the bead now propagates in the backward direction related to the driving wave (typical time series in green, for $c = 6.3 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$). Note that this backward motion is in this case slower than the wave speed ($V \sim -0.4$), and slightly decreases with $c$.

If the wave speed is decreased from this new state, the transition back to synchronous positive translation is obtained for much smaller velocity, thus showing that this bifurcation is subcritical and associated with a strong hysteresis.

For some parameters, the bistability between these two solutions can yield complex non-linear behavior. The red curve in the inset of fig. 2 shows a time series obtained for $h = 8 \text{mm}$, $a = 2.5 \text{mm}$, $c = 0.68 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. For these parameters, both positive and negative $V$ solutions are accessible and the system undergoes chaotic switches between the two branches.

In fact, the evolution of the counterpropagative solution strongly depends on the parameters used in the experiment. Figure 3 shows the evolution of $-V$ (only the negative solution is shown) as a function of $c$ for $h = 10 \text{mm}$ and for different values of the sphere radius. For the smallest value of $a$, the velocity $V$ is constant, whereas it systematically decreases with $c$ for larger $a$. Note that the maximum velocity reached by the sphere first increases with $a$, before decreasing for the largest values of $a$. For $a = 6 \text{mm}$, this maximum velocity reaches $V = -1.2$ (for $c = 0.5 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$), meaning that the bead is travelling backward faster than the magnetic wave driving its motion.

If mild-steel beads are replaced by spherical neodymium NdFeB magnets, the magnetic moment of the sphere is now fixed, rather than induced by the applied field. Such magnetic spheres show the same type of behavior discussed above, with a bistability between forward and backward solutions. Note, however, in fig. 3 that the maximum negative velocity can be very large: for $a = 9.5 \text{mm}$ for instance, the sphere propagates backward 10 times faster than the forward magnetic wave associated to the rotating disc (see Supplementary videos side1.avi and top.avi).

**Theoretical model.** – In order to clarify the mechanism by which such a solution occurs, a simple one-dimensional model is now derived, in which the sphere now moves along some horizontal $x$-coordinate at a distance $z$ from the bottom magnetic wave. Let us suppose that the magnetic field due to the rotating disc (black lines in fig. 4 (bottom)) can be modeled by a magnetic wave $\mathbf{B} = B_0 e^{-kz}[\cos (\omega t - kX)\mathbf{e}_x + \sin (\omega t - kX)\mathbf{e}_z]$, where $X$ represents the local position along the trajectory, $k$ is the wave number of the magnetic wave and $z$ is the distance from the disc. The bead of mass $M$ is also supposed to be uniformly magnetized (the magnetization density is $M_0$) with a dipole moment $\mathbf{m} = m_0 [\cos \theta(t)\mathbf{e}_x + \sin \theta(t)\mathbf{e}_z]$ of constant amplitude $m_0$ but with a direction which depends on the orientation of the sphere (white arrow in fig. 4 (bottom)). Both $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ are
supposed to lie in the \((x, z)\)-plane. The horizontal component \(F_x\) of the magnetic force \(\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}\) and the magnetic torque \(\Gamma_B = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{B}\) acting on the particle are given by

\[
F_x = km_0B_0\sin(\varphi - \theta), \quad \Gamma_B = m_0B_0\sin(\varphi - \theta),
\]

where \(\varphi(t) = \omega_0 t - kX(t)\) is the phase of the magnetic field at point \(X\) and time \(t\). During its motion, the sphere is also subject to the solid friction \(r_x\) from the surface on which it is moving and to the fluid friction from the air \(F_\alpha = 2CD\pi D^2\rho_\alpha U^2\text{sign}(U)\), where \(U = X\) is the velocity of the bead and \(CD\) is the drag coefficient for a sphere rolling on a plane. The motion of the sphere is then governed by Newton’s law for both the velocity \(V\) of the center of mass and the angular velocity \(\omega\) with respect to the same center of mass. By using the wave speed \(c = \omega_0/k\) as a typical velocity scale and \(l_0 = a\) as a typical length scale, the equations of motion reduced to the simple dimensionless system

\[
\dot{V} = k\alpha r \sin \psi + R - KV^2\text{sign}(V),
\]
\[
\frac{5}{2}\dot{\omega} = \tau \sin \psi + R,
\]
\[
\dot{\psi} = ka - kAV - \omega,
\]

where \(R = r_\alpha a/Mc^2\) is the dimensionless (unknown) solid friction, \(\psi = \varphi - \theta\) is the difference of phase between the magnetic field at point \(X\) and the magnetic moment of the sphere. \(K\) is given by \(K = \frac{2}{3}CD\rho_f/\rho\), where \(\rho_f\) and \(\rho\) are, respectively, the density of the surrounding fluid and of the bead. For simplicity, the drag torque has been neglected in eq. (3), since it is expected to be much smaller than the translational drag. In addition, we assume no deformation of the plane during the bead displacement, so the rolling friction does no work. Three dimensionless numbers therefore control the problem: \(ka, K\) and \(\tau = M_0B_0/pe^2\), which compares the magnitude of the magnetic driving to inertial effects.

We now search for stationary solutions, \(\dot{\psi} = \dot{\omega} = \dot{V} = 0\). First, we are interested in the solution for which the sphere rolls without sliding, corresponding to \(\omega = -V\) in our dimensionless variables. Equation (4) and the combination of eqs. (2) and (3) lead to the solution

\[
V_0 = -\frac{ka}{(1 - ka)}, \quad \sin \psi_0 = \frac{Kka^2}{\tau(1 - ka)^3}, \quad R_0 = -\frac{Kka^2}{(1 - ka)^3},
\]

In fig. 4 (top), this theoretical backward solution \(V_0\) is plotted as a function of \(ka\) (dashed line) and compared to the maximum amplitude of the experimental backward solution obtained for different values of \(h\) (i.e., different values of the magnetic-field magnitude). It appears that most of the points collapse on the theoretical prediction \(V_0\), independently of the values of \(a, h\) and the bead materials.

Solution (5) has a simple physical meaning: In the “local” center-of-mass reference frame, the sphere experiences a magnetic field rotating at a pulsation \(\dot{\phi} = \omega_0 - kU\), i.e., the local rotation frequency of the field is Doppler-shifted by the displacement of the bead. Under the effect of this (locally) rotating field, the magnetic moment of the sphere locks to the field and a synchronous rotation \(\dot{\theta} = \dot{\phi}\) is achieved. Since the sphere rolls without sliding, this positive angular rotation enhances the translational countermotion of the sphere, increasing, in return, the Doppler shift of the wave speed (see pictures of fig. 4).

An important point is that this motion is not bounded by the velocity of the travelling field: as \(ka\) tends to 1 (i.e., when the half-perimeter of the bead equals the distance between two adjacent magnetic poles of the wave), only an infinitesimal displacement of the magnetic wave is necessary for the sphere to travel from one pole to the other while keeping constant its angle with the local magnetic field. In other words, the sphere propagates along the field lines several times faster than \(c\) in order to conserve synchronous rotation with the local magnetic field.

Note that the above argument is only valid for a sphere rolling without sliding, the corresponding rolling friction \(R_0\) being smaller than the sliding friction. For a sphere which slides during its motion, the friction \(R\) on the bottom surface is rather given by \(R_S = -\mu W\), where \(\mu\) is the friction coefficient and \(W = ka\rho \cos \psi\) is the dimensionless normal force due to the vertical magnetic attraction force (gravity being neglected).

A transition from pure rolling to sliding is expected when the rolling resistance \(R_0\) exceeds the sliding friction \(R_S\). By using the expression (5) for \(R_0\), this leads to the definition of a new dimensionless number controlling the
motion of the bead:

\[ N = \frac{|R_0|}{|RS|} = \frac{K\tau}{\mu} \frac{ka}{(1 - ka)^N}, \]

where the limit \( \psi \ll 1 \) (small phase lag) has been taken. When \( N > 1 \), the fast solution \( V = V_0 \) disappears and the sphere starts sliding during its backward motion. By injecting the sliding friction \( R_S \) into eqs. (2)–(4), one finds the following solution:

\[ V_S = \frac{V_0}{\sqrt{N}} \sin \psi_S = \mu ka. \]

This second solution still corresponds to a bead propagating backward and a synchronous angular rotation, but due to some sliding, the sphere now moves slower than the pure rolling solution \( V_0 \).

**Comparison between theory and experiment.**– At this point it is interesting to compare the model derived above with our experimental setup. When the mild-steel bead is first put on the table, it is magnetized by the magnetic field below, such that its magnetization density is proportional to the ambient magnetic field. With typical values of the experiment \( B_0 \sim 300 \text{G} \) and \( c \sim 3 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \), \( \tau \) ranges between \( 10^{-2} \) and 100. Some experiments \([7,8]\) suggest that the air resistance coefficient for a sphere rolling on a plane is larger than its usual value \( C_D = 0.45 \) in unbounded fluid. For simplicity, we used \( C_D = 1 \) in the following. Since it is hard to know precisely the value of the kinetic friction coefficient in our experiment, \( \mu \) is kept as a fitting parameter but serves only to scale the value of our experimental parameter \( N \). In the following, \( \mu = 0.02 \) is used, which is slightly smaller than the value expected for the lubricated metal-plastic interface.

Figure 5 shows our experimental data for mild-steel beads compared to the model described above. In this figure, bead velocities have been rescaled by the backward beads compared to the model described above. By injecting the sliding friction \( R_S \) into eqs. (2)–(4), one finds the following solution:

\[ V_S = \frac{V_0}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \sin \psi_S = \mu ka. \]

This second solution still corresponds to a bead propagating backward and a synchronous angular rotation, but due to some sliding, the sphere now moves slower than the pure rolling solution \( V_0 \).

![Figure 5](image-url) (Colour online) Evolution of \( V/V_0 \) as a function of \( N \) for mild-steel beads. Most experimental data collapse on the dashed line corresponding to model equations (5) and (7), i.e. pure rolling for \( N < 1 \), and rolling-sliding for \( N > 1 \).

![Figure 6](image-url) (Colour online) Evolution of \( V/V_0 \) as a function of \( N \) for NdFeB spheres. At small \( N \), a bistability is observed between the rolling backward solution \( V_0 \) and a faster motion. Inset: corresponding bidimensional oscillating trajectories.

During the model derivation: the bead starts oscillating radially around its circular trajectory. It is interesting to note that this type of motion which involves a complex interaction between the magnetic restoring force and the inertia of the sphere, can increase the velocity of the bead even further. Clearly, this motion would require a modification of our 1-D model, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

Additional experiments were done using beads made of copper: in this non-magnetic case, a similar countermotion is observed, although one order of magnitude smaller than the one reported here for magnetic spheres. In this case, eddy currents in the sphere produce weaker but similar forces than the magnetic ones described here, thus leading to identical behavior. Finally, note that by adding random noise to eqs. (2), (3), these equations easily explain the origin of the chaotic behavior reported in
fig. 2: it originates from imperfections of the bottom surface, generating noise in the friction and allowing random transitions between the two bistable forward/backward solutions.

**Conclusion.** – The motion of a magnetized sphere driven by an external travelling magnetic field has been studied. When the speed of the driving wave is large enough, we observe a transition from a state involving a forward synchronous translation with no rotation, to a backward translation associated to synchronous angular rotation. Under several assumptions, a simple model was proposed to explain this backward motion, which can be several times faster than the driving wave. A good agreement is obtained between the theory and experimental data.

The behavior reported in this paper may have several applications. First, the present experiment shares some similarities with recent studies on the control of magnetic beads in a microfluidic channel [5,9] and may therefore be regarded as a new method for manipulating nanomicroscale objects using the tumbling motion of particles induced by a travelling magnetic wave. Rolling of a small magnetic particle can also be relevant to micro-manipulation of magnetic beads for magnetic twizzer [10] or polishing techniques. It is also interesting that the present mechanism offers a simple explanation to counterflows observed near boundaries in ferrofluids submitted to a rotating magnetic field. On the other hand, the mechanism of locomotion described here is very general, and may be applied to controlled transport of objects at macroscopic scales. In this perspective, several questions may be addressed in future work, such as the role of electrical conductivity or the factors limiting the stability of the counterpropagative solution.
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