Fast production of ultracold sodium gases using light-induced desorption and optical trapping
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In this article we report on the production of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) of $^{23}$Na using light-induced desorption as an atomic source. We load about $2 \times 10^7$ atoms in a magneto-optical trap (MOT) from this source with a $\sim 6$ s loading time constant. The MOT lifetime can be kept around 27 s by turning off the desorbing light after loading. We show that the pressure drops down by a factor of 40 in less than 100 ms after the extinction of the desorbing light, restoring the low background pressure for evaporation. Using this technique, a BEC with $10^8$ atoms is produced after a 6 s evaporation in an optical dipole trap.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Na is one of the first atomic species that was brought to quantum degeneracy [1]. Among the alkali metals it allows the production of the largest Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC’s) with atom numbers $>10^6$ [2,3]. This is, in part, due to the efficiency of laser cooling, but also to favorable collisional properties (large elastic cross section, low inelastic losses). These properties allow efficient evaporative cooling to Bose-Einstein condensation [2], as well as the production of large degenerate Fermi gases (e.g., $^6$Li) when the Na cloud is used as a buffer gas for sympathetic cooling [4]. Na also has antiferromagnetic spin-dependent interactions [5,6], which can lead to complex entangled spin states [7]. Such spin states are particularly sensitive to stray magnetic fields [8–10], so that they are expected to survive only in a quiet magnetic environment provided by magnetic shielding. Hence their study, which is the main motivation behind this work, requires the optimization of the production of cold Na gases in a compact setup compatible with such shielding.

A typical ultracold gas experiment can be decomposed into three successive steps: a source delivering hot atoms to the vacuum chamber, a magneto-optical trap (MOT) capturing atoms from the source and precooling them, and a conservative trap loaded from the MOT where evaporative cooling is performed to reach quantum degeneracy. The MOT is common to all experimental setups, which differ in the first and last steps. The atom source can be an atomic beam produced by a Zeeman slower [11,12] or a residual vapor that exists in the ultra-high vacuum (UHV) chamber due to a nearby atomic reservoir [13,14] or atomic dispensers [15–17], for example. The conservative trap can be either a magnetic trap for spin-polarized atoms, or an optical dipole trap for unpolarized mixtures. All practical implementations must solve an intrinsic quandary: On the one hand, the atom flux in the MOT region must be large enough for efficient loading and on the other hand, it has to be low enough that collisions with the background vapor do not hinder evaporative cooling in the conservative trap. This was solved in various ways, either by spatially separating the MOT capture region and the evaporative cooling region or by modulating the atomic density in time (the Zeeman slower technique, combined with a controllable beam block, is an example of the latter solution).

To reduce the complexity and size of the apparatus, the second solution seems more favorable provided that one is able to truly switch on and off the vapor pressure in the UHV chamber. Atomic dispensers, which allow the release of an alkali vapor by thermally activating the reduction of an inert alkali metal oxide, were introduced for this purpose in connection with atom chips experiments [15–17]. Unfortunately, dispensers are unsuitable to modulate the pressure inside the chamber with time constants below 1 s due to their thermal cycle [15]. This forces one to work at a “compromise” pressure, which allows one to reach BEC thanks to the high collision rates obtained in atomic chips [16,17], but limits the sample lifetime.

A promising technique to rapidly modulate the atomic pressure is light-induced atomic desorption (LIAD) [18,19], a phenomenon analogous to the photoelectric effect in which an adsorbed atom is released from an illuminated surface by absorbing a photon. LIAD was initially observed in coated Na cells and subsequently studied for different atomic species and several substrates [18,20–25]. Its usefulness as a source for quantum gases experiments was demonstrated for Rb [17,19,26–28] and K [29,30].

To our knowledge, all the experimental groups that were able so far to produce a Na BEC relied on a Zeeman slower to load the MOT [1,3,31–33]. Furthermore, with the exception of Ref. [33] where evaporative cooling is done in an optical trap, these experiments used magnetic trapping. When considering only the MOT loading step, which is less restrictive than evaporative cooling in terms of acceptable pressure, several alternatives were demonstrated [14,34,35], but are difficult to operate under UHV conditions. Loading from Na dispensers was also demonstrated [36], but not under conditions suitable for achieving BEC. Only very recently a group reported the use of LIAD for loading a Na MOT [37]. In that work, the atomic pressure drops after the extinction of the desorbing light to a level (lifetime $\sim 8$ s) that can be compatible with evaporative cooling.

Here we report the experimental realization of an ultracold Na gas in a single UHV chamber, where LIAD is used to increase the Na pressure for MOT loading and where the atoms are captured in an optical dipole trap for evaporative cooling.
We achieve [see Fig. 1(a)] a loading of the MOT with a time constant $\sim 6$ s with LIAD on a subsequent lifetime of the MOT of $\sim 27$ s with LIAD off and a lifetime in the dipole trap with a time constant $\sim 11$ s. The latter is partially limited by evaporation and inelastic collisions between trapped atoms. In our setup, the ratio between the partial pressures of Na when LIAD is switched on and off is $\eta \simeq 40$. The delay for observing this pressure drop after the switching off of LIAD is less than 100 ms. This allows us to quickly switch from MOT loading to evaporative cooling. Under these conditions, we observe BEC’s containing $\sim 10^4$ atoms after a 6 s evaporation. The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe our experimental setup. We present the experimental results on MOT loading using LIAD in Sec. III. We compare our results to other experiments in Sec. IV.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A. Vacuum system

The UHV system is built around a custom-made chamber equipped with several viewports allowing wide optical access. The chamber is made from Ti (for reasons discussed in the following) machined to a surface roughness specified lower than 700 nm by the manufacturer (UK AEA Special Techniques, Oxfordshire, UK). The viewports are made from fused-silica windows vacuum brazed to Ti flanges (MPF Products Inc., Gray Court, SC, USA). The chamber inner volume is about 0.3 liter. The chamber is connected with CF40 tubing to a getter pump located approximately 20 cm away from its center and to a 201/s ion pump located approximately 50 cm away. After 10 days baking at 200° C to establish UHV, the residual pressure is well below the sensitivity of the ion pump current controller (limited to a few $10^{-9}$ mbar). For all experiments reported in this article, the pressure near the ion pump stays below the detection threshold of the controller. We estimate the effective pumping speed of the ion pump to be $\sim 4$ l/s in the UHV chamber.

We have two means to increase the partial pressure of Na: atomic dispensers and light-induced atomic desorption. Atomic dispensers are formed by a powder of alkali oxide, which is chemically inert at room temperature. A gas of alkali atoms is released from such devices by activating a chemical reaction with the heat generated by an electric current (typically a few amperes) running through the metallic envelope containing the powder. The dispensers used in our experiment (Alvatec GmbH, Althofen, Austria) are run at a relatively low current ($\sim 4$ A) to avoid the release of large Na loads into the chamber. A pair of such dispensers is mounted using standard vacuum connectors and a custom CF25 electrical feedthrough (MPF Products Inc., Gray Court, SC, USA) at a distance of about 4 cm from the center of the chamber. In the first six months after baking out the chamber, we use two such pairs of LED’s placed around the vacuum chamber. In the following, we use LED’s emitting near 370–390 nm (models NCSU033A and NCSU034A from Nichia Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Each LED is supplied in a small surface-mount chip emitting diodes (LED’s) emitting diodes (LED’s) emitting near 370–390 nm (models NCSU033A and NCSU034A from Nichia Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Each LED is supplied in a small surface-mount chip.

As an illumination source for LIAD, we use light-emitting diodes (LED’s) emitting near 370–390 nm (models NCSU033A and NCSU034A from Nichia Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Each LED is supplied in a small surface-mount chip and emits around 350 mW of light power. We mount them in pairs on appropriate heat sinks. For the reported experiments, we use two such pairs of LED’s placed around the vacuum chamber. We supply these LED’s with a current ranging from 0 to 1.5 A (maximum current). We verified that the optical power is proportional to the current in this range.

A sketch of the relevant section of the chamber interior is shown in Fig. 2(a). Two re-entrant flanges supporting large CF63 windows are mounted vertically. The atomic dispensers are mounted close to the viewports using CF25 electrical feedthroughs. The UV LED’s used for desorption...
FIG. 2. (Color online) (a): Sketch of the UHV chamber showing the location of one of the pairs of LED’s with respect to the MOT and the reentrant viewports (VP), as well as the path of one of the ODT arms. (b): Time sequence of the experiment. We show from the top down the power of the ODT, the current in the LED’s, the magnetic gradient of MOT coils and the different cooling phases. TDMOT stands for Temporal Dark MOT and “Mol.” for “optical molasses” (see Sec. II C).

are mounted in front of two CF25 viewports. In our case the illuminated surface is partly Ti and partly a few hundred nanometers-thick layer of alternating TiO$_2$ and SiO$_2$, which constitutes the antireflection coating of our viewports (Duane Mallory, manager of MPF products, private communication, 2009). By changing the position of the UV LED’s, we can experimentally verify that the main contribution to desorption comes from the viewports and not from the Ti surface. In previous experiments, we also successfully used LIAD to load a MOT in a glass cell (Vycor glass without antireflection coating) with similar characteristics as in the present UHV chamber. Attempts made using a 316L stainless steel chamber with small (CF16) viewports failed. In both cases, Na MOT’s were also directly loaded from the dispensers.

B. Magneto-optical trap

We operate the MOT using the all-solid-state laser system described in Refs. [39,40]. The laser is locked on the Na $D_2$ line using modulation transfer spectroscopy on an iodine cell. We lock on the iodine P38 (15–2) line, which is located 467 MHz above the Na $D_2$ resonance frequency\(^1\). The output of the laser is split into two parts. The first part is used to form the main MOT beam and the second to form the repumping beam after passing through a 1.7 GHz acousto-optical modulator (Brimrose Corporation of America, Sparks, MD, USA). Both beams are delivered to the experiment using single-mode optical fibers. The parameters of the MOT and repumper beams are summarized in Table I. The MOT is formed using a pair of anti-Helmholtz coils producing a gradient $\sim 15$ G/cm on axis.

Three sets of Helmholtz coils are also available to compensate for residual fields during the molasses phase. Typically, we find that only the vertical residual field is significant, with a magnitude compatible with that of the Earth’s field. We do not observe a significant effect of the magnetic field produced by the dispensers on the MOT when they are fed with current.

C. Optical dipole trap

The optical dipole trap (ODT) is produced using a 20 W fiber laser (IPG Photonics, Oxford, MA, USA). The laser emits in multiple longitudinal modes and is polarized linearly. We control the beam intensity using a rotating waveplate followed by a Glan-Taylor polarizer and switch off the laser beam using a fast ($\sim 1$ ms switch-off time) mechanical shutter (Uniblitz, Rochester, NY, USA). This system allows for a stable modulation between 0.5% and 100% of the laser power within a 60 Hz bandwidth. The ODT is in a crossed configuration where the beam is folded onto itself with a 45° angle in the horizontal plane. We took care to make the polarizations of the two arms orthogonal to better than 1°. When this was not the case, large heating was observed, presumably due to the fluctuating optical lattices resulting from the interferences between identical frequency components with fluctuating relative phases present in each beam. The useful powers on the atoms are around 14 and 12 W for the first and second arms, respectively\(^2\). We focus the first arm to a $1/e^2$ size of $w_1 \approx 30$ $\mu$m and the second arm to $w_2 \approx 22$ $\mu$m, which corresponds to a depth $V_0 \approx 700$ $\mu$K for the crossed dipole trap.

\(^1\)This solution was proposed by Christian Sanner, W. Ketterle’s group, MIT.

\(^2\)This difference is mainly attributed to imperfections of the antireflection coatings of the windows, which were apparently damaged during the chamber bakeout.
TABLE I. Summary of MOT laser parameters. The waist is the $1/e^2$ radius of the beam. Laser powers are given per MOT arm and frequency detunings from the $3S_{1/2}$, $F = 2 \rightarrow 3P_{3/2}$, $F' = 3$ transition for the MOT beams and the $3S_{1/2}$, $F = 1 \rightarrow 3P_{3/2}$, $F' = 2$ transition for the repumping beams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam</th>
<th>MOT detuning</th>
<th>MOT power</th>
<th>TDMOT detuning</th>
<th>TDMOT power</th>
<th>Molasses detuning</th>
<th>Molasses power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOT</td>
<td>−20 MHz</td>
<td>1.8 mW</td>
<td>−18 MHz</td>
<td>1.8 mW</td>
<td>−36 MHz</td>
<td>2.4 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repumper</td>
<td>0 MHz</td>
<td>450 μW</td>
<td>0 MHz</td>
<td>8 μW</td>
<td>0 MHz</td>
<td>8 μW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For efficient loading of the ODT from the MOT, it is essential to reduce the repumper power by a factor of 50 from its value in the MOT capture phase [41]. This decreases the steady-state population in the electronic excited state $3P_{3/2}$ and increases the spatial density thanks to the reduction of light-induced collisions. We refer to this procedure as "temporal dark MOT" (TDMOT) in the following. In our experiment, the ODT is switched on at the beginning of this phase, which lasts for about a hundred milliseconds. It is followed by a 20 ms "pseudo-optical molasses" phase similar to the one described in Ref. [33], where the MOT detuning is increased to 36 MHz and the magnetic gradient is ramped down slowly to zero [see Fig. 2(b)]. During this phase, sub-Doppler cooling helps reduce the temperature. The repumping light is then switched off 1 ms before the end of the molasses phase so that all the atoms are optically pumped to the $F = 1$ state. We do not perform any Zeeman pumping on the atoms so that they can be in any state of the $F = 1$ Zeeman manifold.

D. All-optical evaporation

Starting from about $2 \times 10^5$ atoms in the crossed ODT, we let the atoms evaporate freely for 3 s, reaching a phase-space density (PSD) of $\sim 10^{-2}$ (accounting for a factor of 1/3 due to the spin degree of freedom, assuming equipartition in the $F = 1$ manifold) [42], with $1.5 \times 10^5$ atoms at 80 μK. We then start ramping down the power of the ODT laser to 1% of its original value in 3 s, following a power-law decay as discussed in Ref. [43]. The cloud is then transferred in an auxiliary optical trap that can be turned off fast (~1 μs) using an acousto-optic modulator for time-of-flight imaging. We complete the evaporation ramp to reach Bose-Einstein condensation around 1 μK. With further evaporation, we can produce quasipure BEC’s containing $10^4$ atoms. The total sequence lasts 18 s, including 12 s of MOT loading.

E. Diagnostics

We infer the properties of the trapped atoms from absorption images. We use two absorption axes, one copropagating with the first arm of the ODT, the other vertical. We use low-intensity circularly polarized probe light on resonance with the $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 3$ transition. A repumping pulse of $\sim 300$ μs is applied to pump all the atoms in the $F = 2$ state before the imaging pulse of $\sim 30$ μs. The shadow of the atomic cloud is imaged on charge-coupled devices (CCD) cameras and the inferred density distribution fitted to a Gaussian profile. We measure the number of atoms from the area under the Gaussian, using a scattering cross section $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 3\lambda_0^2/2\pi$, where $\lambda_0 \approx 589$ nm is the resonant wavelength. We checked that the absorption by the atomic cloud is peaked around the atomic transition frequency with a 10 MHz width close to its natural linewidth and that a linearly polarized probe is absorbed about twice as less, as expected from the square of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the relevant optical transitions. For experiments reported in Sec. III C, a photodiode monitoring the MOT fluorescence is also used.

III. MAGNETO-OPTICAL TRAPPING OF SODIUM ATOMS USING LIGHT-INDUCED DESORPTION

A. Loading the magneto-optical trap

We first characterize the loading dynamics of the MOT as a function of illumination. We model the loading dynamics by the equation

$$\dot{N} = R - \frac{N}{\tau_{\text{MOT}}}.$$  

Here, $N(t)$ is the number of atoms in the MOT at time $t$, $R$ is the MOT loading rate, and the term $N/\tau_{\text{MOT}}$ accounts for losses due to the collisions with the background gas. We assume that $R$ is proportional to the partial pressure of Na in the chamber $P_{\text{Na}}$, while $\tau_{\text{MOT}}^{-1}$ is proportional to the sum of $P_{\text{Na}}$ and of the residual pressure of each contaminant $i$ present in the vacuum chamber weighted by the relevant collision cross sections $\sigma_{\text{Na}+i}$. We thus write

$$R \approx a P_{\text{Na}}, \quad \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{MOT}}} \approx b P_{\text{Na}} + \frac{1}{\tau_0}.$$  

We take $a$, $b$, and $\tau_0$ independent of $N$ and of the illumination, which is a simplifying assumption but describes our data well. Equation (1) then leads to an exponential loading with $1/e$ time constant $\tau_{\text{MOT}}$ toward a steady-state atom number

$$N_{\text{st}} = R \tau_{\text{MOT}} \approx \frac{a P_{\text{Na}}}{b P_{\text{Na}} + \frac{1}{\tau_0}}.$$  

A typical loading is shown in Fig. 1(a) (first 12 s), with $N_{\text{st}} = 2.1 \times 10^5$ atoms, $\tau_{\text{MOT}} = 6.5 \pm 0.5$ s and $R = 3 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$.

A first assessment of the efficiency of the loading of the MOT from LIAD can be achieved by recording the parameters of the MOT as a function of the LED’s current. These measurements are reported in Fig. (3). The loading rate $R$ is also used.
LED’s current increases. We find that the apparent discrepancy between the lifetime in the ODT (≈11 s) and the lifetime in the MOT (≈27 s) can be better understood by analyzing the loss mechanisms in the ODT. We performed numerical simulations of the evacuation in the ODT. The simulations simplify the trap geometry to a truncated harmonic trap, but account for one-body and three-body collisions [45], which are significant due to the high density in the trap (≈10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}). The rate for these collisions $L_{3B} = 2 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ was measured in Ref. [38] for the $F = 1, m_F = +1$ state and we use this value for all Zeeman states. We derive from these simulations a value for the one-body lifetime due to background collisions $\tau_{1B} = 17 \pm 3$ s. The corresponding result for the atom decay is shown by the solid (black) line in Fig. 1(b). This shows that three-body collisions play a significant role in the ODT so that the effective decay time $\tau_{ODT}$ is systematically shorter than the one-body decay time $\tau_{1B}$ and is essentially limited by these collisions. We use for simplicity the fitted $\tau_{ODT}$ to analyze the data in the following. The dependence of the lifetime on the background pressure is not qualitatively changed, while this parameter is easier to fit and is model independent. We attribute the residual discrepancy between $\tau_{1B}$ and $\tau_{MOT}$ to the largely different depths of the ODT and the MOT, which
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**FIG. 3.** (Color online) (a): Loading rate $R$ of the MOT; (b): Inverse of the loading time constant $1/\tau_{MOT}$; (c): Steady-state atom number $N_{st}$ for various LED currents. Statistical error bars are smaller than the dots and not shown.

Equation (3) implies that when the loading time is dominated by the Na partial pressure, the steady-state atom number becomes independent of $P_{Na}$ and therefore of the current in the LED’s. This is what we observe in Fig. 3(c) for the highest LED currents. We find $R = 3 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ when the current in the LED’s is maximal. When the LED’s are off, the loading rate drops to $R = 8 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$. This means that the pressure of Na with the light switched on is increased by a factor $\eta = 40$ with respect the background pressure. This factor can be recovered with a relatively good approximation from the value of the MOT lifetime, as we show now. Note first that obtaining an absolute calibration of the pressure is by no means easy in our system, which does not include a UHV gauge. When LIAD is off, we expect that $P_{Na}$ is comparable to the saturated vapor pressure at room temperature $P_{Na}^{sat} = 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ mbar}$, with a coefficient that depends on the details of the coverage of the surfaces under vacuum with Na atoms. In the presence of LIAD, we can infer the value of the pressure from the loading time of the MOT $\tau_{MOT}$ by using the relation $\tau_{MOT}^{-1} \simeq n \sigma v$, where $n$ is the Na density in the background vapor, $\sigma$ is the collision cross section between a trapped atom and an atom from the vapor, and $v \simeq (k_B T/m)^{1/2}$ is the average thermal velocity (with $T$ the room temperature and $m$ the mass of an atom). We assume a typical value $\sigma = 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ [34], neglecting its energy dependence. The value $\tau_{MOT} = 5$ s obtained in the presence of LIAD at full power corresponds to a pressure $P_{Na}^{on} \simeq 10 P_{Na}^{sat}$. Given the crude assumptions behind our estimate and the fact that the velocity of atoms desorbed by LIAD is not fully thermal [18], this result is in reasonable agreement with $\eta = 40$. A final consistency check amounts to calculating the loading rate expected in our MOT from a vapor in equilibrium at room temperature ($T = 295$ K). We use the result $R = 0.5 (P_{Na}^{sat} / k_B T)^{2/3} v_{cap} (m/2 k_B T)^{1/2}$ from Ref. [13], where $V \simeq 1 \text{ cm}^3$ is the MOT volume and $v_{cap}$ the capture velocity. From a one-dimensional semiclassical analysis for our MOT parameters, we deduce $v_{cap} \simeq 35 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, which leads finally to $R = 4 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$, in good agreement with the experimental finding. This suggests that the velocity distribution is almost thermal (i.e., the vapor released via LIAD quickly equilibrates with the walls of the chamber).

**B. Lifetime in the dipole trap**

Results from the previous section demonstrate that LIAD is an efficient way to increase the partial pressure of Na in the vacuum chamber. Moreover, LIAD has the important property that it can be controlled on a short time scale. This is a crucial feature for BEC experiments in which evaporation takes place in the same chamber as the MOT. In this case it is mandatory that the increased pressure during MOT loading be transient and that the background pressure be recovered fast enough to preserve the lifetime of the atoms in the ODT. We infer this lifetime by plotting the number of atoms $N$ in the trap as a function of time [see Fig. 1(b)]. After a fast initial decay for the first 200 ms, reflecting free evaporation from the ODT [44], we find that $N$ decreases over a much longer time scale. A fit of the decay of $N$ for $t > 200$ ms by an exponential function $\exp(-t/\tau_{ODT})$ gives $\tau_{ODT} = 10.7 \pm 1$ s.

The apparent discrepancy between the lifetime in the ODT (≈11 s) and the lifetime in the MOT (≈27 s) can be better understood by analyzing the loss mechanisms in the ODT. We performed numerical simulations of the evacuation in the ODT. The simulations simplify the trap geometry to a truncated harmonic trap, but account for one-body and three-body collisions [45], which are significant due to the high density in the trap ($\approx 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$). The rate for these collisions $L_{3B} = 2 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ was measured in Ref. [38] for the $F = 1, m_F = +1$ state and we use this value for all Zeeman states. We derive from these simulations a value for the one-body lifetime due to background collisions $\tau_{1B} = 17 \pm 3$ s. The corresponding result for the atom decay is shown by the solid (black) line in Fig. 1(b). This shows that three-body collisions play a significant role in the ODT so that the effective decay time $\tau_{ODT}$ is systematically shorter than the one-body decay time $\tau_{1B}$ and is essentially limited by these collisions. We use for simplicity the fitted $\tau_{ODT}$ to analyze the data in the following. The dependence of the lifetime on the background pressure is not qualitatively changed, while this parameter is easier to fit and is model independent. We attribute the residual discrepancy between $\tau_{1B}$ and $\tau_{MOT}$ to the largely different depths of the ODT and the MOT, which
makes collisions more likely to eject atoms from the former than from the latter.

To determine the effect of LIAD loading on the ODT, we perform lifetime measurements in the ODT for three different cases. In the first case (a), our standard sequence, the LED’s are turned on during the loading of the MOT and then turned off when the atoms are loaded in the ODT. In the second case (b), the MOT is loaded just as in the first sequence, but the LED’s remain on while the atoms are kept in the ODT, keeping the pressure at the same level as in the MOT loading phase. In the third case (c), LIAD is not used and the pressure of Na is increased using the Na dispensers continuously, during both MOT and ODT phases. The different cases are compared by looking at $\tau_{\text{ODT}}$ plotted against $R$ in Fig. (4). In case (a), $\tau_{\text{ODT}}$ is independent of $R$, implying that one can load the MOT at high loading rates without deteriorating the lifetime in the ODT. In cases (b) and (c), the behavior is qualitatively different: $\tau_{\text{ODT}}$ decreases when the pressure increases and a higher loading rate corresponds to a lower lifetime in the ODT. One can also notice that, for a given MOT loading rate, $\tau_{\text{ODT}}$ is significantly larger using LIAD than using the dispensers. A probable explanation is that the dispensers are releasing other compounds than Na in the UHV chamber when heated, while LIAD is more selective and does not modify the partial pressures of other bodies in a significant way.

C. Time evolution of pressure

The results presented so far show that the Na partial pressure rapidly drops back to its background level when the LED’s are turned off. This process appears to be fast enough so that the lifetime in the ODT is not diminished. To confirm this result and determine the time scale of the decrease in pressure, we perform two measurements on the loading of the MOT. First, the MOT is loaded with LIAD for the first 12 s, then the LED’s are turned off and the decay of the number of atoms in the MOT is recorded [see Fig. 1(a)]. By fitting the loading and decay phases by two exponentials, we find $1/e$ time constants $\tau_{\text{ON}} = 6.5 \pm 0.5$ s and $\tau_{\text{OFF}} = 27 \pm 1.5$ s with and without LIAD, respectively. Given that $\tau_{\text{OFF}}$ is compatible with the loading time of a MOT without LIAD shown in Fig. (3), it appears that the pressure goes back to the background value on a time scale, which is small compared to $\tau_{\text{ON}}$. To characterize more accurately how fast this process goes, a second measurement is performed on a shorter time scale, using fluorescence light emitted from the atoms of the MOT to measure the small number of atoms at the very beginning of the loading. We first load the MOT using LIAD for 1 s, then turn off the LED’s keeping the MOT light on. We observe on Fig. (5) a sudden change in slope, with a loading rate going from $3 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$ to $8 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$ [i.e., we recover the same factor $\eta \sim 40$ as previously found see Fig. 3(a)]. This change happens with a characteristic time shorter than 100 ms, an upper bound limited by the sensitivity of the fluorescence measurement.

IV. DISCUSSION

A figure of merit to evaluate the performance of LIAD for preparation of ultracold gases is how low the background pressure in the region of the MOT drops once the desorbing light is turned off. This depends a priori not only on the physics of LIAD, but also on technical details such as the effective pumping speed. The different experimental results available in the literature for UHV systems are summarized in Table II. The large variations of the reported loading rates can be easily explained with the different parameters of the MOT, in particular with the beam size, which impacts the capture velocity. The data about the MOT lifetime depend mainly on the atomic species and on the pressure in the vacuum chamber. The decay of the pressure after turning off the desorbing light reported in this work is among the fastest reported in the literature, while the lifetime of the MOT after this extinction is among the longest. Such a slow MOT decay is reported also in Refs. [27,46], which are unsurprisingly two other cases in which evaporation takes place in the same spatial region as the one where the MOT is loaded. We find a pressure decay time that is much faster than the other time scales of our experiment, comparable to Refs. [46,47]. Our observations are compatible with the scenario where almost all Na atoms stick to the surfaces of the vacuum system after a few bounces when...
TABLE II. Summary of available data on LIAD for the preparation of a MOT (including this work). Reported data are best figures in terms of atomic flux. MOT lifetime is measured after switching off the desorbing light. Pressure decay time is defined as the time required for the pressure to drop at one tenth of its value during the loading of the MOT. The column labeled “Conservative trap” indicates the type of confinement used after the MOT phase. When the trap is realized in the same location as the MOT, we indicate the decay-time constant due to collisions with the background gas. SS is Stainless Steel, PDMS is polydimethylsiloxane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atomic species</th>
<th>Desorbing surface</th>
<th>MOT loading rate</th>
<th>MOT lifetime</th>
<th>Pressure decay</th>
<th>Conservative trap</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{23}$Na</td>
<td>TiO$_2$ + SiO$_2$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>27 s</td>
<td>&lt;100 ms dipole, &gt;11 s</td>
<td></td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{87}$Rb</td>
<td>Pyrex</td>
<td>$\sim 10^6$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\sim 5$ s</td>
<td>&lt;100 s microchip, &gt;4 s</td>
<td></td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{87}$Rb</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\sim 10$ s</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{87}$Rb</td>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\sim 10$ s</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{87}$Rb</td>
<td>quartz + Pyrex</td>
<td>$\sim 10^6$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\sim 30$ s</td>
<td>&lt;30 s microchip, &gt;5 s</td>
<td></td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{87}$Rb</td>
<td>Vycor</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\sim 3$ s</td>
<td>&lt;2 s magnetic</td>
<td></td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$K</td>
<td>Vycor</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\sim 1$ s</td>
<td>magnetic</td>
<td></td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{87}$Rb</td>
<td>Pyrex</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\sim 24$ s</td>
<td>&lt;100 ms microchip, &gt;9 s</td>
<td></td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{40}$K</td>
<td>Pyrex</td>
<td>$\sim 10^5$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\sim 10$ s</td>
<td>magnetic</td>
<td></td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{23}$Na</td>
<td>Pyrex</td>
<td>$4.5 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>10 s</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{133}$Cs</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>9.2 s</td>
<td>70 ms none</td>
<td></td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we demonstrate an efficient route to Bose-Einstein condensation of Na in a compact single-chamber setup, with no source of magnetic fields except for the transitory gradient used for the MOT. The MOT is loaded by LIAD, with a steady-state number of atoms of about 2 \times 10$^7$. Its lifetime remains in the order of 30 s, enough to produce a BEC, thanks to the rapid decrease of the partial pressure of Na when the desorbing light is switched off.

The experimental setup described in this work is aimed at rapidly producing small BEC’s in a single-chamber vacuum system. However, relaxing some of the technical constraints imposed on the design of our apparatus may allow the use of this technique for producing larger BEC’s and degenerate Fermi gases via sympathetic cooling. Since we use only 20% of the available power of our solid-state laser, one can double the size of the MOT beams keeping the intensity constant and expect at least an improvement of a factor of 4 in the number of atoms in the MOT $^4$. Another very significant gain can be obtained by upgrading the ODT. Since the density in our ODT is limited by inelastic collisions, increasing the trap frequency will probably not be the best option. Enlarging the size of the ODT beams, while keeping the confinement constant will probably be a better route to larger BEC’s by allowing to capture a higher fraction of atoms from the MOT. This can be done by using more powerful fiber lasers than the one we use, which are commercially available. With these technical improvements the experimental techniques reported in this work can allow the production of degenerate Fermi gases with atom numbers comparable to what has already been obtained on atom chips [28].
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