Cat States in Ultracold Atoms



Lincoln D. Carr



Department of Physics Colorado School of Mines





in collaboration with

Dimitri Dounas-Frazer and Ann Hermundstad

Carr Theoretical Physics Research Group at the Colorado School of Mines



Several groups at Colorado School of Mines looking for new Ph.D. students, including mine 50% of faculty condensed matter...



Carr Theoretical Physics Group Research at Colorado School of Mines

- Quantum Many Body Physics
 - Designer solid state materials
 - Complex quantum dynamics

Macroscopic superposition and quantum tunneling

- Nonlinear Dynamics
 - **4** Fractals in spin waves in thin films
 - **4** Solitons and vortices
 - **Ultrafast optical resonators**
- Millimeter waves
 - Anderson localization
 - **4** Negative index materials

Outline and Major Results

- In classic N-body quantum problem in a double well,
- As realized in a Bose-Einstein condensate
- We will describe Macroscopic Superposition, i.e., Cat states

4 Two new energy scales required

Many body wavefunction protects Cats

4 Dynamic scheme to obtain Cat-like states

Schrodinger Cats

Mesoscopic Quantum Mechanics: Breakdown?



NOON state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|N,0\rangle \pm |0,N\rangle)$$

Cold Atoms in Optical Lattices



2.4 ms 3.2 ms 4.0 ms

- Quantum information processing
 - Controlled-NOT gates
 - Brennen *et al.*, PRL **82**, 1060 (1999)
 - Lattice of tilted double-wells: 2-qubit gates
 - Calarco et al., PRA 70, 012306 (2004)
 - Sebby-Strabley *et al.*, PRA **73**, 033605 (2006)
- Atom lasers & Gravitometry
 - Continuous source of coherent matter waves
 - Anderson & Kasevich, Science **282**, 1686 (1998)
 - $\blacksquare Applied field \rightarrow Bloch oscillations$
 - Ferrari et al., PRL 97, 060402 (2006)

- Atomtronic materials and devices
 - P- and N-type materials
 - Seaman *et al.*, PRA, in press



Double-well Potentials

- Practical applications
 - Atom-chip based gravity sensors
 - Schumm *et al.*, Nature Phys. **1**, 57 (2005)
 - Hall *et al.*, cond-mat/0609014 (2006)
 - Primary noise thermometer
 - Gati et al., NJP 8, 189 (2006)
 - Storage and retrieval of optical information
 - Ginsberg et al., Nature 445, 605 (2007)





- Fundamental many-body quantum physics
 - Macroscopic quantum tunneling
 - Milburn *et al.*, PRA **55**, 4318 (1997)
 - Albiez et al., PRL 95, 010402 (2005)
 - Creation of Schrödinger cats
 - Mahmud *et* al., PRA **71**, 023615 (2005)
 - Huang *et al.*, PRA **73**, 023606 (2006)

Usual Approach: 2 Parameters

- Originated with Lepkin-Meshkov-Glick model
 - H. J. Lipkin, N. Meshkov, and A. J. Glick, Nucl. Phys.
 62, 188 (1965)
 - Nuclear Spin ensemble translates to N-body problem in 2 modes



4 Two parameters: J/U, N

Our Physical Model



1D Hamiltonian, 4 Modes

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{0} + \hat{H}^{1} + \hat{H}^{01}$$

$$\hat{H}^{\ell} \equiv -J^{\ell} \sum_{j \neq j'} \hat{b}_{j}^{\ell\dagger} \hat{b}_{j'}^{\ell} + U^{\ell} \sum_{j} \hat{n}_{j}^{\ell} \left(\hat{n}_{j}^{\ell} - 1 \right)$$

$$+ (\Delta V/2) \left(\hat{n}_{L}^{\ell} - \hat{n}_{R}^{\ell} \right) + E^{\ell} \left(\hat{n}_{L}^{\ell} + \hat{n}_{R}^{\ell} \right)$$

$$\hat{H}^{01} \equiv U^{01} \sum_{j,\ell \neq \ell'} \left(2 \hat{n}_{j}^{\ell} \hat{n}_{j'}^{\ell'} + \hat{b}_{j'}^{\ell\dagger} \hat{b}_{j}^{\ell\dagger} \hat{b}_{j'}^{\ell'} \hat{b}_{j'}^{\ell'} \right)$$

$$Inter-level$$

$$\downarrow J = Hopping$$

$$\downarrow U = Interaction$$

$$\downarrow \Delta V = Tilt$$

 \blacksquare E = Energy level offset

 $\ell, \ell' \in \{0, 1\}$ are the energy level indices $j, j' \in \{L, R\}$ are the well or site indices $J^0 \ll J^1 \ll E^1, U^1 = (1/2)U^0$ and $U^{01} = (3/4)U^0$

Stationary states of the one-level Hamiltonian



Eigenstates: Effect of Barrier Size



Tilt and Potential Decoherence



Energy Level Diagram: Effect of Tilt



• Collapse of cat states when $\Delta V > \frac{2\Delta \varepsilon_{N-n_L}}{N-2n_L}$ • Potential decoherence induced by slight misalignment of energy

Potential decoherence induced by slight misalignment of energy levels

• Cat-like states reappear when $\Delta V = \Delta V_p \equiv 2pU$, $p \in \{1, 2, ..., N-1\}$ • Cause tunneling resonances in high barrier dynamics



- All atoms initially occupy right well: $|\psi(t=0)\rangle = |0, N\rangle$
- Two-state system: $|\psi(0)\rangle = (|\phi_+;0\rangle |\phi_-;0\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$
- Tunneling frequency and period of oscillation: $\omega_N = \Delta \varepsilon_N / \hbar$ and $T_N \equiv 2\pi / \omega_N$

• At quarter period, system in cat state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|N,0\rangle \pm |0,N\rangle) = |\phi_{\pm};0\rangle$

Tunneling in a Tilted Potential



• Initial state is superposition of $|\phi_{\pm}; 0, p\rangle \equiv (|N - p, p\rangle \pm |0, N\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$

$$\textbf{4. Level splitting is } \Delta \varepsilon_N^p = \frac{4U(J/2U)^{N-p}(N-p)}{(N-p-1)} \sqrt{\frac{N!}{p!(N-p)!}}$$

- Tunneling frequency: $\omega_N^p = \Delta \varepsilon_N^p / \hbar$
- Only N p atoms tunnel between wells
 p atoms remain in lower well to compensate tilt
- At quarter period, system in partial Schrödinger cat state



- Tunneling times in a symmetric potential prohibitively long
 "Self trapping" of Oberthaler experiment
 - Tunneling at resonance is hundreds of orders of magnitude FASTER!

Tunneling Resonances (II): Amplitude



• Width of resonance = width of avoided crossing **4** Resonant tunneling suppressed when $|\Delta V - \Delta V_p| > 2\Delta \varepsilon_N^p / (N - p)$

• Tunneling at resonance MUCH MORE ROBUST!

A Few Numbers

- For N=200 atoms in ⁸⁷Rb tilted double well experiment at NIST
 ↓ T=1.15 x 10⁶³⁵ ms
 ↓ Tunneling suppressed for ΔV=4.16 x 10⁻⁶³⁶ nK k_B
- For N=1,2,3 atoms

Tunneling times as long as T=466, 4840, 134000 ms
Not experimentally realistic...

For p=199,198,197 (i.e. N-p=1,2,3)
 ↓ T=33.0,34.3,117 ms
 ↓ Tunneling suppressed for ∆V=2.90,1.40,0.273 nK k_B

Fly-by View of Four Mode Eigenspace





Separation of Eigenstates



Cat States Mix in Upper Energy Level





Mixing in Spectrum



Formal Bounds on use of Two Mode Model



• From high barrier limit:

$$U^{0} < U^{0}_{\text{crit}} \equiv 2\hbar\omega/(N^{2}-1)$$

$$|\Delta V| < \Delta V_{\text{crit}} \equiv [2\hbar\omega - (N^{2}-1)U^{0}]/(2N)$$

 $\ \ \, = \ \, N < 1/2 + (\hbar\omega - J^1)/(2J^0)$

Bounds hold in 1D, 2D, or 3D

• E.g.
$$N = 100, \ \hbar \omega = 9.7 \ \mu \text{K}, \ J^0 / \text{U}^0 = 0.098$$

$$\Rightarrow U_0^{\text{crit}} = 1.9 \,\text{nK}, \Delta V_{\text{crit}} = 76 \,\text{nK}$$

Conclusions

- Two new energy scales required
 ↓ Tilt, Potential level difference
 ↓ Formal Bounds: N²U, NJ ↔ ħω
- Many body wavefunction protects Cats

Potential Decoherence

- $4 |N,0\rangle \pm |0,N\rangle \rightarrow |N-p,p\rangle \pm |p,N-p\rangle$
- Dynamic scheme to obtain Cat-like states

Quantum sloshing

$$\downarrow |N-p,p\rangle \pm |0,N\rangle$$

- Dounas-Frazer, Hermundstad, and Carr, arXiv:quant-ph/0609119
- Dounas-Frazer and Carr, arXiv:quant-ph/0610166