

# Energy minimization in the BCS state and excitation spectrum (I)

C. Trefzger, M2 – ICFP

25th October 2012

Consider a gas of indistinguishable fermionic particles. Each particle of mass  $m$  has two possible orthogonal spin states,  $|\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle$ , and the number of “spin-up” particles equals the number of “spin-down” particles. The particles of opposite spins interact through an attractive potential while there is no interaction between particles of the same spin state.

## 1 Model Hamiltonian

Consider a one-dimensional ( $1d$ ) case where the fermionic mixture is confined in a box of size  $L$  with periodic boundary conditions.

- a) The zero range potential between particle 1 and particle 2 does not change the spin state and we can model it as follows:

$$V(|x_1 - x_2|) = g\delta(x_1 - x_2), \quad (1)$$

where  $g$  is the so-called coupling constant,  $\delta$  is the Dirac’s distribution, and the position of particle 1 and particle 2 is respectively given by  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Write explicitly the Hamiltonian in second quantization as a function of the field operators  $\hat{\psi}_\sigma(x)$ ,  $\hat{\psi}_\sigma^\dagger(x)$  with  $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ .

- b) Show that the kinetic energy operator of the gas in the  $k$ -space may be written as follows:

$$\hat{T} = \sum_k \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \epsilon_k \hat{a}_{k,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k,\sigma}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\hat{a}_{k,\sigma}^\dagger$  is the creation operator of a fermion with spin component  $\sigma$  in a plane wave with wavevector  $k$ , and

$$\epsilon_k = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}, \quad (3)$$

with  $m$  being the mass of a particle.

- c) In the grand canonical ensemble show that one can replace  $\epsilon_k$  with

$$\xi_k = \epsilon_k - \mu, \quad (4)$$

in  $\hat{T}$ , where  $\mu$  is the chemical potential.

## 2 Minimization of the energy

To this end, we have to calculate the expectation value of the Hamiltonian in the coherent state of pairs:

$$|\psi_{BCS}\rangle = \mathcal{N} \prod_k (1 + \Gamma_k a_{k\uparrow}^\dagger a_{-k\downarrow}^\dagger) |0\rangle. \quad (5)$$

- a) Calculate the expectation value of the kinetic energy operator, including the chemical potential contribution, as a function of the parameters  $\xi_k$  and  $\Gamma_k$ .
- b) What is the physical meaning of the quantity

$$\rho_\uparrow \equiv \langle \hat{\psi}_\uparrow^\dagger(x) \hat{\psi}_\uparrow(x) \rangle ? \quad (6)$$

Using a result of the previous lecture, calculate the value of  $\rho_\uparrow$  as a function of the coefficients  $\Gamma_k$ .

- c) Calculate the following quantity

$$\Delta \equiv g \langle \hat{\psi}_\uparrow(x) \hat{\psi}_\downarrow(x) \rangle, \quad (7)$$

as a function of the parameters  $\Gamma_k$ . Does this quantity depend on the position  $x$  ?

- d) Calculate  $\langle \hat{\psi}_\uparrow^\dagger(x) \hat{\psi}_\downarrow(x) \rangle$ .
- e) Show that the expectation value of the interaction Hamiltonian is:

$$\langle \hat{V} \rangle = \frac{g}{L} \left[ \left( \sum_k \frac{\Gamma_k}{1 + \Gamma_k^2} \right)^2 + \left( \sum_k \frac{\Gamma_k^2}{1 + \Gamma_k^2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (8)$$

- f) We shall consider for a moment the non-interacting case,  $g = 0$ . What is the choice of the parameters  $\Gamma_k$  minimizing the expectation value of the complete Hamiltonian ? What is then the state  $|\psi_{BCS}\rangle$  ? Does it coincide with the exact ground state of the gas ?
- g) We now turn back to the interacting case and we shall consider an attractive interaction, such that  $g < 0$ . Express the fact that the first derivative of the expectation value of  $\hat{H}$  with respect to each  $\Gamma_k$  is zero. We should find the following second degree equation, which we will not try to solve:

$$\Gamma_k^2 + 2 \frac{\tilde{\xi}_k}{\Delta} \Gamma_k - 1 = 0, \quad (9)$$

where we have set

$$\tilde{\xi}_k = \epsilon_k - \tilde{\mu} \quad \text{et} \quad \tilde{\mu} = \mu - g\rho_\uparrow. \quad (10)$$

Give a physical interpretation of the shift in the chemical potential  $\mu$ .